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Introduction

The texts in this compilation concern the on-going post-2018 campaign against the Order of Nine Angles (O9A, ONA) by the Establishment (1). This campaign was and is based on ‘black propaganda’ which is material which does not appear to be propaganda, whose real origins are concealed, which is misleading or designed to discredit and which gives the impression it has been produced/circulated by a particular person or persons or by a particular group/organization or by a State-entity. Black propaganda was used by Allied governments during the First and Second World Wars as well as during the ‘Cold War’, and also between the 1950s and 1970s by the FBI as part of a Counter Intelligence Program to discredit domestic American groups and individuals including the Ku Klux Klan. {2}

In the more recent case of the O9A, the ‘black propaganda’ was produced and circulated by an FBI informant turned agent provocateur named Joshua Sutter, who:

(i) in 2014 with the aid of the FBI established Martinet Press to distribute Occult books including Iron Gates and The Devil’s Quran, and
(ii) established a fake Occult group he named the ‘tempel ov blood’ which he falsely claimed was a chapter, a nexion, of the O9A, and for which he produced ‘black propaganda’, and
(iii) was tasked by the FBI to infiltrate the American neo-nazi group AtomWaffen, and
(iv) posed as an O9A member in order to entrap a serving American soldier, Ethan Melzer, into conspiring to commit terrorist offences, {3} and
(v) appeared as a witness for the prosecution in the 2021 criminal trial of AtomWaffen leader Kaleb Cole {4} who was convicted and sentenced to seven years imprisonment.

For his work for the FBI between February 7, 2018 and early 2020 American Court records show that Sutter was paid US$78,133.20 plus expenses of $4,378.60 {5} prior to which he had on his own admission since 2003 received over US$100,000 from the FBI. {4}

Sutter’s ‘black propaganda’ included the Iron Gates book, literature produced and distributed on behalf of his fake ‘tempel ov blood’, discussions with AtomWaffen members in person using his real name and on-line using a variety of pseudonyms; on-line discussions with others using his real name and a variety of pseudonyms, and which discussions included some supporters of O9A philosophy such as Chloe of the WSA352 nexion in California.

The main themes of his post-2014 ‘black propaganda’ were inciting terrorism against Western governments, especially America; inciting and supporting misogyny, sexual abuse, and rape; and supporting the patriarchal ethos and in particular the macho principle of ‘might is right’. All of which, as explained in the texts in this compilation, are contrary to the esoteric philosophy of the O9A, which texts also explain that the Order of Nine Angles is not a group, an organization with members, as Sutter claimed, but an esoteric philosophy now presenced as an anarchic subculture. {6}

This ‘black propaganda’ became the basis of the post-2018 anti-O9A public narrative, and formed the core of a 2018 article titled Beyond The Iron Gates: How Nazi-Satanists Infiltrated the UK Underground, on a website devoted to ‘rock music and pop culture’, which mentioned Sutter’s ‘tempel ov blood’, stated that the O9A was a group with members, and which accused the O9A of advocating rape and “random attacks on innocent victims” as well as having as a member a person, resident in England, convicted of sexual offences.

This article was also one of the first to employ the fallacy of illicit transference as an anti-O9A propaganda technique: in this instance (i) by claiming a convicted criminal was a member of the O9A and involved with an O9A nexion, and (ii) by citing Sutter’s material as a source, and (iii) stating that this criminal, his self-described ‘O9A nexion’, and such material were representative of the O9A and thus ‘proved’ the O9A condoned sexual abuse, misogyny, and terrorism. {7}

The employment of this fallacy became central to the orthodox anti-O9A narrative which has been emboldened by antifascists and publicly repeated ad nauseam since 2018 by antifascists, by journalists, by many politicians, and by the mainstream Media. This orthodoxy, with its claim that the O9A was a terrorist group which needed to be banned, was printed in the 2019 glossy magazine by a well-funded antifascist advocacy group {8} whose CEO was an Establishment figure awarded an MBE by the British government in 2016 for his “services in tackling extremisms” and who in 2020 received a donation from billionaire Gerald Ronson amounting to £320,000 enabling the CEO to pay himself a yearly salary of over £100,000.

Apart from the employment of the fallacy of illicit transference another feature of the anti-O9A narrative is the use of the fallacy of appeal to authority {7} where the comments and opinions of others are used with the person or persons propagating the narrative never undertaking their own research using O9A primary sources (9) and so - as explained in the included texts such as Order Of Nine Angles Subculture: Following The Evidence - in over three years have never provided anything to contradict the Establishment orthodoxy about the O9A, built as that orthodoxy is on Sutter’s ‘black propaganda’ financed by the FBI.

Morena Kapiris
July 2022 ev

***
By the Establishment is meant those who in modern Western societies have the power, the means, to influence and to shape 'public opinion' on matters political and social. The Establishment thus includes politicians and the incumbent government of America and the governments of Europe countries and often the 'political opposition'; large often multinational business enterprises; the mainstream Media, especially national newspapers and television news media; well-funded special-interest advocacy groups both political and business-orientated; established academics whose work has featured in mainstream publications; and so-called 'independent' or 'freelance' or 'investigative' journalists whose work is used by and/or praised by the mainstream Media.

The orthodoxy of the current Western current era includes the following: the dogma of racial equality; that multiculturalism is 'good'; that those who oppose multiculturalism are 'bad' and that their views should be at best described as "extremism" and at worst suppressed by being made illegal.

In effect, many of the tenets of post-1945 antifascist ideology concerning multiculturalism, racism, and 'extremism', are now mainstream, part of Establishment orthodoxy.


See the included text The O9A And Establishment Propaganda: The Example of Ethan Melzer.

https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/22006156-0928-con%EF%AC%81dential-source-testimony


This means that the O9A has no leader or hierarchy or organizational structure or regulations or members; no officials; no one authorized to make statements about or concerning the O9A; no contact address or e-mail; no official website or blog; and no official, sanctioned, nexions, cells, or chapters.

In regard to fallacies used in the anti-O9A narrative see the text Antifascist Silence And Fallacies which is included in this compilation.

One of the propagandistic embellishments by antifascists was associating David Myatt with the orthodox, Establishment, anti-O9A narrative, qv. the included texts The Urban Tale Of Myatt And Long and Author Profiling In The Case Of Myatt And Long.

The February 2019 item contained over twelve basic errors and lies about the O9A, which were debunked at the time: https://web.archive.org/web/20210123114617/https://omega9alpha.wordpress.com/fake-news/

In regard to O9A primary sources refer to Boundaries Of O9A Philosophy which is included in this compilation and from which this is a quotation:

"Crucial to understanding and appreciating O9A philosophy is the study of the writings of Anton Long, 1976-2012, for they are the primary sources, the only primary sources, of that philosophy. Which writings were published under that name or using the two pseudonyms which he himself has acknowledged, which are (i) "Stephen Brown", with reference to the two volumes of The Satanic Letters, 1992, and (ii) "Thorold West", with reference to the manuscript of Naos: A Practical Guide To Modern Magick, 1989. These primary sources include those two works, the four novels of the Deofel Quartet published between 1976 and 1992, The Last Writings of Anton Long published in 2012, and the classic texts The Gentleman's – and Noble Ladies – Brief Guide to The Dark Arts (2008), Concerning Culling as Art (2011) and The De-Evolutionary Nature of Might is Right (2011).

Other sources - O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 - are therefore secondary and tertiary sources and represent the interpretations and opinions of others regarding Anton Long’s philosophy and the Seven Fold Way that he devised.

For what has hitherto been rejected or ignored by O9A critics, by some O9A supporters, and by all O9A opponents, is the scholarly detail, the view, that not all O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 were written by Anton Long, whomsoever he was. Thus of all the texts in the three volumes of Hostia, published in 1992, only a few have the name Anton Long, or the initials AL, or the name Stephen Brown, attached to them, and it is an un-scholarly but common mistake to assume that uncredited texts - such as the one titled Conquer, Destroy, Create in volume II of Hostia - represent his, that is O9A, philosophy."
The Urban Myth Of The Occult Phantom Menace

Order of Nine Angles: The Occult Phantom Menace

The narrative of the demonization of the Order of Nine Angles (O9A, ONA, Omega9Alpha) over the past three years is a familiar and cyclic narrative: of hatred and intolerance of someone or of some group or of some belief about whom or which rumours are spread, lies told, stories fabricated, allegations made and false assumptions believed by a majority.

Once, long ago, it was witches: hated, lied about, stories about them fabricated, rumours spread, allegations made, accusations of heresy and of evil deeds, with the accused never given the benefit of the doubt. Now, it is the O9A: accused of “inciting terrorism” and all manner of evil deeds because of hatred, bias, hearsay, the spreading of “fake news” and because never given the benefit of the doubt; deemed guilty because they with the power, the money, the political influence, and the support of the Media and of a gullible public, say so.

Only the names of the protagonists and antagonists have changed - a reality of, a truth concerning, our human nature which, over the past century or more, perhaps only the sagacious and those who have endured their own pathei-mathos over years have discovered or painfully learned from personal experience.

This demonization - the years-long anti-O9A narrative - centred around the claims that the O9A was a group, an organization, with members; that the O9A had a leader; that its literature incited both terrorism and repugnant (evil) deeds; that some of its members had committed crimes of terrorism with others having been incited or influenced by the O9A or by its literature to commit such crimes and such deeds; and so on.

The demonization culminated in the following: (i) in a demand by some politicians, by some journalists, and by some well-financed political “special interest groups”, for the O9A to be outlawed by governments as a terrorist group with possession of its literature made a criminal offence; (ii) in a demonic phantasmagorical image - a modern urban myth, an accepted dogma - about an evil O9A bearing no resemblance to the reality of the O9A; and (iii) in journalists, politicians, the Media, academics, and others refusing from timidity, or because of bias, or out of belief in the evil O9A myth, unable or unwilling to contradict that myth in public so that “the O9A side of the story” is either never told or is dogmatically, religiously, dismissed as the deception, the lies, the propaganda of “perverted satanists” and/or of “evil nazis”.

Birth Of A Demonic Myth

Our discourse regarding the demonization begins with a cabal deciding to counter the growing international influence of the esoteric philosophy of Anton Long which esotericism embodied, among other attributes, a practical antinomianism: an adversarial, heretical questioning of certain accepted beliefs, dogmas, practices, and of accepted interpretations of events recent and otherwise. A questioning befitting for an esotericism described by its founder as ‘satanic’ and of the Western ‘left hand path’.

For the cabal, with a vested interest in maintaining both the status quo and their messianic dream of “socially engineering” a New World, this was “a questioning too far” given that it involved among other things an antinomian questioning of “the Shoah”, an antinomian questioning of the demonization of Hitler and German National Socialism; an antinomian questioning of what had become the dogma that “all races and peoples are equal”; and an antinomian questioning of the belief that peoples of European ethnic descent must embrace multiculturalism, and that European ethnic cultures and folk traditions should be deprecated while non-European ethnic cultures and traditions should be celebrated and positively encouraged, with their being quotas for non-European ethnicities in films, in television dramas, in advertisements, and in the Media and entertainment industries in general.

In the decades and years before the narrative of the demonization of Order of Nine Angles was manufactured and then propagated - around 2016 and 2018 respectively - the O9A was publicly considered to be a “minor satanic organization” associated with promoting neo-nazi ideology and performing a Mass of Heresy where Hitler was praised and the Shoah was denied.

This association and promotion led to the hearsay that Anton Long, the pseudonym of the founder of the O9A and of the author of its early texts, was the British neo-nazi David Myatt; which hearsay was repeated by Michael Aquino of the American Temple of Set and by the antifascist Searchlight organization who embellished and assiduously propagated it in the 1990s with a view to discrediting Myatt who at the time was associated with the violent neo-nazi group Combat 18 and who also was the founder of the National-Socialist Movement following the jailing of the leader of Combat 18 for murder. However, neither Aquino, nor Searchlight, nor anyone else, provided any evidential facts, then or later, to substantiate such hearsay.

This public perception of the O9A as a minor nazi-satanist group began to change in 2014 when a convicted felon and
informant for the American Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) was tasked as an agent provocateur with publishing and distributing occult and neo-nazi books and literature which he did under the imprints Martinet Press and Tempel ov Blood. The informant, who had for years espoused neo-nazi and White supremacist beliefs and who had been an FBI informant since 2003, claimed without any evidence that his Tempel ov Blood, which he ran with his wife, was an American chapter (nexion) of the O9A.

Among the books he published and distributed were The Devil's Quran, which purported to be a translation of a secret Arabic manuscript, and Iron Gates, a badly written dystopian novel in which sadism, rape, misogyny, and paedophilia featured prominently, and which dishonourable themes would over the next few years dominate Tempel ov Blood literature and propaganda. The fact that the O9A considered such deeds to be dishonourable has been assiduously ignored by those propagating and by those believing in the urban myth of the evil O9A. 3

It was later revealed, during a criminal trial in 2021, that the agent provocateur had been paid US$78,133.20 plus expenses of $4,378.60 by the FBI between February 7, 2018 and early 2020, which dates coincided with his association with an American neo-nazi group. 4 and which association involved him promoting among its members and associates his Iron Gates book, literature from his Tempel ov Blood, selected O9A literature such as the three volumes of Hostia published in 1992 by "Christos Beest", as well as inciting terrorism, rape, misogyny, and paedophilia in that and other groups in America, Britain and Europe.

As a result of this association, incitement, and propaganda, several young often susceptible men 5 committed criminal offences including terrorism and paedophilia, were arrested, tried, and subsequently convicted sometimes as a direct consequence of information supplied to the authorities by the agent provocateur.

A Temporary Cause Célèbre

It was during some of these criminal trials that the prosecution drew attention to the alleged influence of occult literature on some of the defendants with mention being made of some O9A texts and symbols having been found among their possessions even though none of the O9A literature espoused or incited crimes such as terrorism and paedophilia. 6

It was also claimed at some of the criminal trials that a defendant was a "member of" or was "associated with" or was "linked to" the O9A or in contact with an O9A "member" even though the prosecution never defined what was meant by such terms and even though the defence never asked who the alleged member or members were, never challenged the prosecution for evidence of what constituted being a member or associate of the O9A or even challenged the prosecution claim that the O9A was a real group with members, which as a study of the writings of Anton Long would have revealed, the O9A was not since in reality it was an esoteric philosophy which, over the decades, had become a modern occult sub-culture that individuals and families could identity with or follow. 7

Whether by design or by default - on the balance of probabilities, by design - antifascists discovered and trumpeted such alleged association with the O9A as did journalists sympathetic to their cause such as Daniel De Simone and Jake Hanrahan as well as elected politicians such as Stephanie Peacock and Conor McGinn who represented a certain antifascist "political special interest group" in the British parliament.

By 2019 the fledging urban myth of the demonic evil O9A was in widespread circulation aided by a propagandistic "report" and articles by a certain antifascist "political special interest group" together with interviews by its CEO all of which were replete with errors, lies, unproven assumptions and propaganda, 9 with another propagandistic "report" in 2020 by the same group presenting the tropes of the urban myth in detail and giving the myth the form that it has assumed ever since. None of the anti-O9A tropes are supported by evidential facts: which tropes are listed and discredited in Appendix I.

However, being an urban myth composed of hearsay, unproven allegations, lies, propaganda, and disinformation - in effect, being fake news - the reality of it having being fact-checked and discredited has not stopped the myth and will not, given human nature, stop it from being repeated, believed, spread, and further embellished. As a myth believed by so many only an audacious questioning few per decade will challenge it, reading for themselves the original sources: the writings of Anton Long. 10

Yet the urban myth of the evil O9A is only a temporary Cause Célèbre, because in Aeonic terms - of millennia - there is a cyclic nature to hateful narratives regarding heresies, and regarding causes or people despised or maligned in a particular century, with the O9A just like the Craft of the Rounwytha destined to endure given that esoterically understood as μαχικός, 11 an individual quest for Lapis Philosophicus, 12 O9A philosophy is, has been for decades, and will be for centuries, generationally transmitted from individual to individual, from family to family especially in rural enclaves where urban myths and even their modern means of propagation such as the chattering rumour-mongering Mr Internet are irrelevant.

Which is why current demands for governments to outlaw the "order of nine angles" and ban its literature are most amusing, at least for the sagacious and those who having endured their own pathei-mathos over years - via The Seofonfeald Paeth, or otherwise - have discovered or painfully learned Wisdom. How can a government outlaw as a terrorist group a phantasmagorical non-existent organization with non-existent "members" - an Occult Phantom Menace - and make the writings of a philosopher of an esoteric world-view illegal when all they teach is a path to Wisdom as those who actually read them can discover for themselves? 12

Here is a summary, from that text, of what those who presented the O9A side of the story publicly asked O9A critics and those propagating such allegations:

1) Provide evidence admissible in a Court of Law that the Order of Nine Angles (O9A) is a group/organization, and that such a group/organization has a leader or leaders, and has members and associates. Acceptable evidence would include an authenticated paper or digital or audio or video trail proving (i) official membership of or official association with the O9A and (ii) that the O9A is a group or organization and (iii) that this O9A has or had a leader or leaders or some kind of hierarchy or leadership committee or cabal.

2) Provide evidence admissible in a Court of Law that those individuals who journalists and antifascists and others have stated are members or associates of the O9A were actual members of or associates of the O9A and/or were influenced by (i) the published writings of Anton Long between 1976 and 2012 and which published writings had his name attached to them, and/or (ii) were influenced by a proven member or proven members or proven associate of an “Order of Nine Angles”. Acceptable evidence would include an authenticated paper or digital or audio or video trail proving that an existing or past and proven member or associate of an organization named "the Order of Nine Angles" had contact with and incited or influenced such alleged members and such alleged associates.

3) Provide scholarly written evidence citing scholarly sources for the claim that the O9A is not an esoteric philosophy/sub-culture.

4) Define in scholarly terms and in terms acceptable in a Court of Law what the expressions "linked to the O9A," and "associated with the O9A" and "influenced by the O9A" mean.

No one, in over three years, has provided any such evidence or definitions.

Appendix I provides a summary of what the O9A is alleged to condone, encourage, or incite, together with “the O9A side of the story”.

2. Unable to provide evidence to substantiate their claims, Searchlight, other antifascists, some journalists, and even a few academics committed the fallacy of argumentum ad verecundiam by citing as “proof” an essay by a post-graduate student who claimed that he had shown that Myatt was the elusive Anton Long. See the section about Myatt in Appendix I.


5. In a recent case in England, Judge Spencer stated that the defendant was “highly susceptible to recruitment by other like-minded individuals.” https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/crime/nazi-terror-case-classic-literature-jail-b1912643.html

6. See Appendix I.


7. In respect of the O9A being an esoteric philosophy and not a group, as noted in *The Boundaries Of O9A Philosophy*,

("The appellation Order of Nine Angles describes the esoteric philosophy developed by the pseudonymous "Anton Long" between 1976 and 2012 and was described in texts authored by him published between those dates. An esoteric philosophy is a philosophy that describes, or seeks to describe, the hidden or inner - the esoteric - nature of Being and of beings including we human beings. An axiom of O9A philosophy, in common with many esoteric philosophies, is that the inner nature of Being and of beings can be apprehended, or represented, by a particular symbolism or by various symbolisms and also by the relationships between symbols, for such esoteric philosophies are based on the Aristotelian principle that existence/reality is a reasoned order capable of being rationally understood, with many esoteric philosophies also positing – as the ancient Greeks did, as Hellenic hermeticism did, and as O9A philosophy does – that this reasoned order (κόσμος) has an ordered structure and that human beings, by virtue of possessing the faculty of reason, are - in their natural state of physsis (φύσις) or fitrah - an eikon (εἰκών) of that ordered structure. The symbolism of..."
O9A philosophy is - as described in the Poemander/Poemandres tractate of the Corpus Hermeticum and in many Renaissance alchemical texts - the ancient one of seven spheres (ἑβδομάς) and a hermetic quest (ἄνοδος) by the individual from the first, lower, sphere to the seventh, higher, sphere.

https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/o9a-boundaries-philosophy-v9.pdf

8. By design, since the CEO of one well-financed antifascist “political special interest group” was an adviser to the British parliamentary Commission for Countering Extremism (2017-2018) led by Sara Khan, and thus as an Establishment insider - awarded the MBE by the British government in 2016 - was probably notified of the FBI operation.


10. For an overview refer to the chapter The Writings Of Anton Long, in The Order of Nine Angles Side Of The Story. As noted at the beginning of that chapter:

“Crucial to understanding and appreciating O9A philosophy is the study of the writings of Anton Long, 1976-2012, for they are the primary sources, the only primary sources, of that philosophy. Which writings were published under that name or using the two pseudonyms which he himself has acknowledged, which are (i) "Stephen Brown", with reference to the two volumes of The Satanic Letters, 1992, and (ii) "Thorold West", with reference to the manuscript of Naos: A Practical Guide To Modern Magick", 1989. These primary sources include those two works, the four novels of the Deofel Quartet published between 1976 and 1992, The Last Writings of Anton Long published in 2012, and the classic texts The Gentleman's - and Noble Ladies - Brief Guide to The Dark Arts (2008), Concerning Culling as Art (2011) and The De-Evolutionary Nature of Might is Right (2011).

Other sources - O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 - are therefore secondary and tertiary sources and represent the interpretations and opinions of others regarding Anton Long’s philosophy and the Seven Fold Way that he devised.”


12. Finding Lapis Philosophicus is the aim of hermetic, Occult, traditions such as the O9A Seven Fold Way. It is a synonym for Wisdom, whereby Wisdom is meant a balanced, rational, personal judgement and a particular knowledge of a pagan kind regarding livings beings, human nature, Nature, the Cosmic Order (κόσμος) and our connexion to such manifestations of the μονάς.

Lapis Philosophicus means the "jewel of the alchemist", since the term Philosophicus means an alchemist not, as is commonly believed, a philosopher, just as lapis (σφήν ουσίας) when used in Latin alchemical texts means "jewel" and not "stone". According to the esoteric tradition of the O9A, the term Lapis Philosophicus [post-classical Latin, c. 13th century; qv. Byzantine Greek: λίθος τῶν σοφῶν] pre-dates the term lapis philosophorum and was used by early writers on alchemy such as Raymund Lully, and by the Elizabethan Oxford classical scholar John Case in a book entitled Lapis Philosophicus, Sive Commentarius In Octo Libros Physicorum Aristotelis , published in 1600 CE. The term was also used by Isaac Newton in a handwritten manuscript entitled Lapis Philosophicus cum suis rotis elementaribus [MS 416, in Babson College's Grace K. Babson Collection of the Works of Sir Isaac Newton, currently housed in the Huntington Library, San Marino, California].

Appendix I
Accusations About The O9A
From: The Order of Nine Angles Side Of The Story

* Accusation: The Order of Nine Angles is neo-nazi

Correctly understood, through a study of the O9A corpus, the O9A is apolitical, for as noted in the text The O9A, Plato, National Socialism, And Nihilism,

"It is obvious to those who have studied the O9A corpus from the 1970s to the 2019, 300 page, trilogy titled Seofonfeald Paeth, (9) that the O9A considers National Socialism - both as a political ideology and in practice - to be a useful tool, a means, to be used, via an Insight Role, (10) by individuals who associate themselves with the O9A movement.

A tool, a dialectical means, whereby they (i) can in however small a way disrupt the status quo and possibly persuade others to do the same, and (ii) can personally learn from the experience of being a practical, a real-life, modern day heretic, since according to the status quo - that is, according to all current Western governments and educational institutions - National Socialism is the epitome of evil with the myth of the
"holocaust" a sacred dogma which should not be challenged, often, in some Western lands, on pain of imprisonment.

As mentioned in the chapter The Alleged National Socialism Of The O9A in The Seofonfeald Paeth trilogy, the O9A thus consider National Socialism, and all ideologies be they political or religious, as an idea, or ideal, ἵδεια/εἶδος, with Plato's ἵδεια/εἶδος understood - via Aristotle (11) and others - not as Plato himself believed as an "abstract" (true, ideal) essence or type of being which is independent of us (12) - but as a posited causal abstraction (13) by someone or by some others. That is, the O9A conceive of National Socialism, and all ideologies be they political or religious, not as an "essence" and not as something "true" or essential or necessary, but as a fallible human abstraction, a technique or tool, a φαντασία, and one which can be usefully used by individuals just as the O9A itself is or can be a φαντασία to be used (14) to achieve a personal and esoteric pathi mathos (15).*

In addition, the O9A is not a group or organization with "members" but an esoteric - an Occult - philosophy, a sinisterly-numinous mystic tradition, a changing, evolving, sub-culture, (6) which individuals sometimes identify with or may be influenced by, and which philosophy was expounded/outlined in the writings of Anton Long between 1976-2012. Among these writings are the following primary sources and which sources, especially the Deofel Quintet, provide a flavour - an intuition - of that philosophy:


(vii) Presencing Azoth (2011), Geneseos Caput Tertium (2011) {22}

(viii) Women and the ONA: Questions From A Modern Rounwytha Initiate, 2011 (23)

(ix) The Last Writings of Anton Long, 2012. (24)

In respect of the Deofel Quintet,

"None of the novels of the Quartet concern politics. None of them deal with political revolution or concern themselves with terrorism. None of them concern neo-nazism. None of them involve racism or are 'antigay' or misogynistic. In truth, the novels - ahead of their time - contain strong female characters (such as Fiona in The Greyling Owl, and Lianna in The Giving) as well as positive gay characters (such as Fenton in The Greyling Owl).

To understand the O9A is to understand how and why The Deofel Quartet presences O9A esotericism: as involving real individuals some of whom (as in Falcifer) may have an interest in Satanism and the Occult, and some of whom (as in The Greyling Owl) are not interested in, or appear not to be interested in, Satanism and the Occult. As readers of such works as Falcifer and The Giving and The Temple of Satan discover, esoterically the O9A is far beyond even the causal abstraction, the causal form, termed Satanism." The Apolitical Deofel Quartet (2)

* Accusation: The O9A is Satanist

In accord with its historical usage, as noted in the Anton Long text The Geryne of Satan, the O9A understand "Satan" as the chief adversary of those who regard themselves as the so-called 'chosen ones',

Satan

The scribes of the Septuagint mostly rendered the Hebrew יהוה as ο̱ διάβολος τω διάβολω - and which Greek term implies someone who is an adversary and who thus is pejoratively regarded (by those so opposed) as scheming, as plotting against them; that is, the sense is of διάβολος - scheming against/opposed to (the so-called 'chosen ones'). Someone, that is, who stirs up trouble and dissent.

Only in a few later parts - such as Job and Chronicles - does the Hebrew seem to imply something else, and on these occasions the word usually occurs with the definitive article: hasatan - the satan: the chief adversary (of the so-called 'chosen ones') and the chief schemer, who in some passages is given a fanciful hagiography as a 'fallen angel'.


As also noted in the Anton Long text *The Geryne of Satan*, the English term satanist/sathanist - historically understood - describes: (i) an adversarial, a diabolical, character; (ii) those who adhere to or champion heretical/adversarial doctrines.

Thus, in O9A philosophy, Satan and Satanist describe one of the Five Core O9A Principles: the way of defiance of and practical opposition to Magian abstractions and to the Magian ethos. {25}

In addition, as explained in the text *The Alleged National Socialism Of The O9A* included in the 2019 book *Feond*. {26} Satanism, just like National Socialism, is “understood by the O9A as a causal form: as an idea, or ideal, ἰδέᾳ/εἶδος,” which causal forms could be used to aid both the sinister dialectic and a personal learning experience via an O9A Insight Role lasting perhaps a year or so. That text quotes letters from “Anton Long” - aka Stephen Brown {27} - in one of which, a letter to Lea dated 23rd September 1990 ev, he writes that “Satanism is a form, like any other - a ‘container’ constructed in the causal world to effect certain changes. These are of an Aeonic kind.”

With the move to Phase III of O9A strategy, many within the O9A movement are evolving away from overt Satanism, an evolution noted by an academic as far back as 2009:

> "I suggest here that the Order of Nine Angles is also post-Satanic, having outgrown its identification with its original Satanic paradigm to evolve its system into novel forms. I also suggest that although it still retains the concept of the Sinister as a familiar, familial moniker, the ONA is poised to outgrow its exclusive identification with the Left Hand Path - a trend already indicated by the ease with which it assimilates, uses, and subverts Right-Hand Path esoteric and exoteric forms to its particular aeonic purposes; such that the ONA system includes but transcends even the Left-Hand Path / Right-Hand Path dualism which would otherwise appear endemic to the concepts of the sinister." {28}

This is the move first toward a "sinister-numinous" Seven Fold Way (as evident in Internal Adept) and thence to a perception beyond denotata and beyond a dialectic of named opposites. Beyond names and categories and ideas such as "satanism", and beyond opposites such as "Left Hand Path" and "Right Hand Path" and even beyond "sinister" and "numinous". Which perception is that engendered by a transition, on the Seven Fold Way, into and beyond The Abyss.

This is the perception of a Master of Temple and of a Mistress of Earth. For,

> "both the LHP (‘the sinister’) and the RHP (‘the numinous’) are themselves causal abstractions - ideations - which hide both our own nature, the nature (the physis) of other living beings, and the nature of Reality itself. For the O9A provides the individual with an opportunity to develop a perception, an understanding, a knowledge - acquired from a personal experience - beyond causal abstractions/forms and thus beyond denotatum; that is, and for example, beyond the illusion of conflicting/ideated opposites, beyond naming/denoting/words, beyond abstract morality, beyond dogma/ideology, beyond the simple principle of causation, and beyond the simplicity of a posited dialectical process." {29}

Such a change was described in a 116yf (2005) text by Anton Long:

> "There will come a time when the ONA - and the individuals who are part of it or who are influenced by it - will outwardly shed the rhetoric, the images, the forms of Satanism, for such things are causal emanations tied to a particular Aeon; they are not the supra-Aeonic acausal essence which we, through the progression of Aeons, are moving toward and which it is the purpose of genuine Occultism and magick to move us, as individuals, toward experience of and understanding of.

> What will also change are the means - the magick - to presence the acausal. Thus, there will be a move away from ritual, and from overt Old Aeon symbolism - and especially from "words" and "names" toward a much darker magick: a magick which manifests the acausal without the need for causal forms, and certainly without the need for "names”. One type of the new magick is The Star Game (the magick of “Thought”) and another is that which returns the Chaos which is, and which is not, The Dark Gods - but there will be many other types of this new five-dimensional magick, some of which are already known to, and used by, genuine Adepts of the Dark Tradition." {30}

* Accusation: The O9A is misogynist and encourages sexual abuse and rape

A study of the O9A corpus from the 1970s to 2020 - for example from the pro-Sapphic novel *Breaking The Silence Down* {31} to the essay *The Anti-Patriarchal O9A Ethos* {32} - reveals the O9A attitude toward women, which is one of respect and equality. The O9A code of kindred honour embodies respect for women and gender equality {33} with the O9A having “more female supporters than either the Church of Satan or the Temple of Set [and] more women with children.” {34}

Nowhere in the corpus of O9A texts written by Anton Long between the 1970s and 2012 does the O9A advocate rape.

Nowhere in the texts written by students of Anton Long - texts such as *Seofonfeald Paeth* {3} - is there any advocacy of either rape or misogyny.

In fact, the O9A consider rapists as suitable candidates for culling {35}. This lie of encouraging sexual abuse and rape is built on another lie: that around a dozen or so people who have been convicted of sexual offences or who are alleged to have called for sexual violence are “members” of the O9A whereas the truth is: (i) that the O9A - being an esoteric philosophy (a sinisterly-numinous mystic tradition, a changing, evolving, subculture which individuals sometimes identify with or may be influenced by) and not a group or organization - has no members, and never has
had any members, and (ii) that those people they name are and have been interested in the O9A, generally because they have either (a) misunderstood O9A esoteric philosophy based as it is on an individual years-long hermetic quest along the O9A Seven Fold Way, as explained in books such as the 1989 Naos text and in the 2019 text The Seofonfeald Paeth, or (b) those people have gotten lost in the O9A's Labyrinthos Mythologicus designed as that was to test, select, confuse, mislead and intrigue.

Having an interest in the O9A, being in possession of O9A literature, displaying the O9A sigil, claiming to be in contact with the O9A, and even calling one's self O9A does not make a person a “member” of the O9A. Except, of course, in the minds of prejudiced, intolerant, antifascists and their supporters who, judging by their campaign of or their belief in lies and propaganda about the O9A, have a preconceived opinion about the O9A not based on reason or actual experience or on scholarly research; a bias, a partiality; an unreasoned dislike of, or hostility toward the O9A; an unreasoning objection to the O9A; or who have made a preliminary or anticipatory judgement about the O9A.

* Accusation: The O9A upholds Social Darwinism and the principle of Might Is Right

Anton Long wrote in the 122 Year of Fayen (2011) text The De-Evolutionary Nature of Might is Right that

"The doctrine Might is Right – variously expressed in texts and writings such as those by the pseudonymous Ragnar Redbeard, by Nietzsche, and by proponents of what is known as social Darwinism – is the doctrine, the philosophy (or more correctly, the instinct, the raison d’être) of the cowardly bully and of the rapist for whom instinct, mere brute physical strength, or superior weaponry, or superior numbers, command respect."

* Accusation: The O9A encourages terrorism

If one accepts the usual definition that terrorism is the use of or the threat of force in order to influence governments or intimidate or influence the public for the purpose of advancing a political, religious, or ideological cause, then nowhere in the corpus of O9A texts written by Anton Long between the 1970s and 2012 does the O9A advocate "terrorism". Nowhere in the texts written by students of Anton Long - texts such as Seofonfeald Paeth -3- is there any advocacy of terrorism.

For the O9A is not a political, religious, or ideological cause but an Occult philosophy primarily concerned with changing, evolving, individuals - the pursuit of Lapis Philosophicus - through Occult arts such as the individualistic decades-long Seven Fold Way.

* Accusation: Members of the O9A have been arrested and imprisoned for terrorism and murder

Since the O9A is an Occult philosophy - a sinisterly-numinous mystic tradition, a changing, evolving, sub-culture which individuals sometimes identify with or may be influenced by - and not a group or an organization, it has no members and cannot have any members. Therefore no members of the O9A have been arrested and imprisoned for terrorism or for murder.

As noted previously, those people so named are and have been or may have been interested in the O9A, generally because they have either (i) misunderstood O9A esoteric philosophy based as it is on an individual years-long hermetic quest along the O9A Seven Fold Way, as explained in books such as the 1989 Naos text and in the 2019 text The Seofonfeald Paeth, or (ii) those people have gotten lost in the O9A’s Labyrinthos Mythologicus.

* Accusation: The O9A was founded by David Myatt in the 1970s

In over fifty years no one has ever provided anything probative - any evidence admissible in a court of law - that Myatt founded the O9A. All there is or has been are hearsay, assumption, prejudice, personal opinion, and people committing fallacies such as argumentum ad populum - since so many believe it, it must be true - and argumentum ad verecundiam - argument from authority - by repeating what certain others have said or written about the matter.

Myatt himself has consistently denied founding the O9A.

* Accusation: The leader of the O9A is Anton Long which is a pseudonym of David Myatt

There is not and never was and never can be a leader of the O9A since it is an Occult philosophy, a sinisterly-numinous mystic tradition, a changing, evolving, sub-culture.

In over fifty years no one has ever provided anything probative - any evidence - that Myatt is or was Anton Long. All there is or has been are hearsay, assumption, personal opinion, prejudice, and people committing fallacies such as argumentum ad verecundiam by repeating what certain others have said or written about the matter.

Myatt himself has consistently denied being Anton Long. In respect of the fallacy of argumentum ad verecundiam, some people who have claimed or who claim that Myatt was the pseudonymous "Anton Long" present and rely on two things: (i) forgeries such as the two manuscripts titled Diablerie and Bealuwes Gast (3B) and (ii) the analysis by Senholt in a Master's thesis, later updated and included as a chapter in the book The Devil's Party: Satanism in Modernity (39) with Myatt in a section of his essay A Matter Of Honour subtitled The Logical Fallacy of Incomplete Evidence - A Case Study, (40) having analysed in some detail the claims made by Senholt, concluding that the claims are not tenable.
A causal abstraction is defined by the O9A as "the manufacture, and use of, some idea, ideal, 'image' or category, and thus some generalization, and/or some assignment of an individual or individuals to some group or category. The positing of some 'perfect' or 'ideal' form, category, or thing, is part of abstraction. Abstractions hide the true nature of Reality - which is both causal and acausal, and which true nature can be apprehended and understood by means of The Dark Arts, and thus by following the Occult way from Initiate, to Adept, and beyond. According to the O9A, the so-called Occult Arts - and especially the so-called Satanism - of others are manifestations of causal abstractions, lacking as they do the learning of the skills of Dark-Empathy, Acausal-Thinking, and Sinister Sorcery, and thus lacking as they do the ability to develop our latent human faculties and our latent sinister character." Glossary Of O9A Terms, v.5.04, included in Discovering Nexion Zero: The Occult Phantom Menace, available at https://archive.org/download/discovering-nexion-zero/discovering-nexion-zero-v5.pdf

{28} George Sieg, Angular Momentum: From Traditional to Progressive Satanism in the Order of Nine Angles, Conference paper at Satanism in the Modern World, November 2009, Norwegian University of Science and Technology.

{29} https://omega9alpha.files.wordpress.com/2018/03/o9a-quintessence-v2.pdf The text is quoted in the Alleged National Socialism Of The O9A chapter of the O9A book Feond included in https://archive.org/download/o9a-trilogy-print/o9a-trilogy-print.pdf


{32} The Anti-Patriarchal O9A Ethos, included in Appendix of https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/o9a-side-of-story.pdf

{33} The O9A code is included in Appendix II of https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/o9a-side-of-story.pdf


{36} https://archive.org/download/o9a-development-arete/o9a-development-arete.pdf


{38} Refer to (i) A Skeptic Reviews Diablerie by R. Parker, and (ii) Bealuwes Gast: A Study in Forgery, which are included in https://archive.org/download/diablerie-and-bealuwes-gast/Diablerie-and-Bealuwes-Gast.pdf


{40} https://davidmyatt.wordpress.com/about/a-matter-of-honour-2/

***

Source: https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/o9a-side-of-story.pdf
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The O9A And Establishment Propaganda
The Example of Ethan Melzer

The case of Ethan Melzer - a former soldier in the US Army who in June 2022 pleaded guilty to various terrorist offences - has highlighted how the law enforcement agencies and security services of the Establishment have worked since at least 2017 to infiltrate, disrupt and discredit what they and most of the Establishment at first and mistakenly considered the Order of Nine Angles (O9A, ONA) to be: an anti-Establishment organization which had and which recruited members.

The mistaken belief that the O9A was an organization with members derived from government advisers, and which advisers included the founder of an antifascist advocacy group who was awarded an MBE by the British government in 2016 for his “services in tackling extremisms”, an American academic and a Canadian academic who had both written about the O9A.

This mistaken belief was at the centre of a three-year campaign in Britain, led by that antifascist advocacy group and involving several elected politicians, to have the O9A banned as a terrorist group; a campaign which ultimately failed after Establishment law enforcement agencies and security services discovered the O9A was a leaderless somewhat anarchic philosophy developed by the pseudonymous Anton Long in texts written between 1976 and 2012 and which philosophy was anti-patriarchal; concerned with the individual learning from practical experience and the overcoming of physical challenges; concerned with kindred and personal honour; with cultivating muliebral 'dark arts' such as esoteric-empathy through spending three months living alone in the wilderness; and considered sexual abusers as suitable candidates for culling.

This discovered truth, however, and as often occurs given the mendacious machinations of governments and their servants, was not made public and thus did not prevent the development and the assiduous propagation of an Establishment orthodoxy about the O9A. Which orthodoxy was that their phantasmagorical O9A was a neo-nazi satanic group with members and which incited the violent overthrow of Western Judaeo-Christian society as well as inciting misogyny and sexual abuse and which had violent ritualistic initiation practices.

It was this Establishment orthodoxy - this phantasmagorical O9A - which featured in the legal case brought by the US government against Ethan Melzer. In addition, Melzer pleaded guilty to only three charges - attempting to murder U.S. service members, providing and attempting to provide material support to terrorists, and illegally transmitting national defense information - none of which charges had anything to do with the O9A.

Ethan Melzer: Allegations But No Evidence

Following his indictment in August 2020 the case against Melzer generated world-wide publicity for the O9A based on the prosecution allegations, which allegations included the following:

(i) that "Melzer was a member of the O9A";
(ii) that he "joined the U.S. Army in approximately 2018 as part of an O9A 'insight role' to infiltrate its ranks and further his goals as an O9A adherent"; (4)
(iii) that his initiation into the O9A involved him in becoming a street-level drug dealer and shooting another dealer in Louisville, Kentucky in January 2017;
(iv) that all of his overt acts criminal "took place in May 2020 when Mr. Melzer sent a series of communications to an online chatroom to further the objectives of the conspiracy" to have serving American troops killed; (5) and
(v) he had in his possession a copy of the book The Sinister Tradition which they claimed had "detailed instructions on how to manufacture and use explosives and weapons."

With his criminal trial due to start in July 2022 in New York, Melzer was offered a pre-trial plea-bargain: if he pleaded guilty to the charges against him he would receive a maximum 45-year jail sentence as against a whole life sentence without the possibility of parole. On the advice of his lawyers, Melzer agreed to the plea-bargain which meant that there would be no public trial.

This also meant that the prosecution allegations about him and about the O9A would not be publicly challenged in a Court of Law. In other words, that the Establishment orthodoxy about the O9A could continue to be propagated with Melzer’s side of the story at best relegated to a few sentences, following paragraph after paragraph of Establishment orthodoxy, and dismissed as a ‘fantasy defense’, and at worst never told.
Which is exactly what happened. With (i) the Media - and especially antifascist opponents of the O9A - repeating ad nauseam the Establishment orthodoxy about the O9A and the above five prosecution allegations about Melzer, and (ii) with Melzer's side of the story, and the truth about what happened, relegated to a few sentences if it was mentioned at all, and when mentioned was dismissed as simply being his 'fantasy defense'.

The truth in respect of Melzer, available in statements and interviews Melzer gave pre-trial to law enforcement officers and in the Court papers his attorneys had prepared for the trial, included the following:

(i) that Melzer denied belonging to the O9A;
(ii) that he stated O9A beliefs were the "polar opposite of his own";
(iii) that "the individuals he was communicating with online weren't members of the Order of Nine Angles - or O9A - as he believed, but rather, government informants who helped build the case against him" {6} and which "government informants" included Joshua Sutter who was paid US$78,133.20 plus expenses of $4,378.60 by the FBI between February 7, 2018 and early 2020. {7}

In other words, the Establishment aim of discrediting the O9A by hyping the Establishment's fictional, phantasmagorical version of the O9A received a significant boost, with government complicity - through the FBI and other government agencies - in entrapping and deceiving yet another person and in having their agents and informants pretend to be belong to that phantasmagorical O9A, never mentioned and never taken into consideration when the O9A was discussed.

The truth, in respect of the five prosecution allegations about the O9A and Melzer detailed above, a truth available in the primary sources written by Anton Long {8} and in open access O9A documents authored by his students - aka the O9A Old Guard/Inner O9A {9} - is that:

(i) There are not and never have been any 'members' of the O9A since the O9A is an esoteric philosophy now manifest as a subculture; {10}

(ii) O9A Insight Role's are part of the decades-long O9A hermetic Seven Fold Way and related to the third stage of External Adept. {11}{12} There is no evidence whatsoever that Melzer was or had been following the Seven Fold Way, and since Melzer stated that O9A beliefs were the "polar opposite of his own" there would have been no reason for him to follow the O9A Seven Fold Way in the first place.

(iii) Initiation as detailed in 09A texts is simple and does not involve any violence against other persons. The rite of initiation is given on p.16 of The Seofonfeald Paeth. {13}

(iv) Melzer's communications on "online chatrooms" were with FBI agents and confidential informants who lied and duped Melzer into believing he was communicating with O9A "members". Whether this amounted to entrapment by the State would have formed part of Melzer's defence.

(v) The book The Sinister Tradition does not, as the prosecution alleged, contain "detailed instructions on how to manufacture and use explosives and weapons" but instead contains copies of three O9A Occult texts: the Grimoire of Baphomet, Codex Saerus (aka Black Book of Satan), and Naos: A Practical Guide to Modern Magick. All three texts are freely available in Definitive Guide To The Order of Nine Angles, compiled in 2015 and consisting of 1460 pages {14} and can be easily checked to prove that the prosecution allegation is untrue.

In regard to such allegations - contained in the indictment made by the authorities against Melzer - it should be remembered that according to US law: "a district court does not evaluate the adequacy of the facts set forth to satisfy the elements of a charged offense. At the indictment stage, we do not evaluate the adequacy of the facts to satisfy the elements of the charged offense. That is something we do after trial." {15}

Since there was no trial the allegations were never evaluated in a Court of Law.

The Recurrence Of The Fallacy Of Illicit Transference

The case of Ethan Melzer has now taken its place as one more example of the fallacy of illicit transference as committed by supporters of the Establishment and by antifascists everywhere.

As noted in our recent text The Matter Of Fallacies:

"the fallacy of illicit transference is when a generalization is made from a few specific instances or examples with the generalization used to pejoratively describe the subject under discussion: as in someone citing a few instances when some persons alleged to have been influenced by the O9A have committed crimes and then claiming that the O9A is responsible for such crimes or incites such crimes." {16}

A recent example of the fallacy is given in that text.

The fallacy - unintentionally made or propagandistically made - is currently used almost every week by the mainstream Media, by antifascist groups, and by individuals on 'social media', to spread the fictional and phantasmagorical Establishment version of the O9A.
The Establishment Campaign And Generational Transmission

The anti-O9A Establishment campaign, begun in earnest around 2017, has been remarkably successful in discrediting the O9A among the hoi polloi. A relevant example of this success is the Wikipedia article about the O9A which is widely used by the hoi polloi as a 'reliable source' even though such Wikipedia articles about controversial groups and individuals - especially anti-Establishment ones - and about controversial subjects about which there is an Establishment orthodoxy, are fundamentally flawed given:

(i) that what Wikipedia defines as a 'reliable source' includes articles in mainstream newspapers (printed and digital) and printed books and articles published by mainstream publishers written by journalists, academics, and others even if the authors of such books and articles commit fallacies such as the appeal to authority and the fallacy of Incomplete Evidence; {17} and
(ii) that Wikipedia is a multimillion-dollar business in partnership with Google to have their articles top internet searches; and
(iii) that it is protected by US laws such as Title 47 (section 230) of the 1996 Communications Decency Act {18} which makes it difficult to be sued; and
(iv) that its Administrators are a self-governing cliqué who do not require scholarly credentials in the subjects they write about or make decisions regarding.

Thus, the criteria used by Wikipedia are not evidential facts established by scholarly or scientific research but of the sources used being published or broadcast or referenced by mainstream, established, mediums, which means that those considering Wikipedia itself a 'reliable source' commit the fallacy of appeal to authority.

However, this Establishment success in discrediting the O9A is irrelevant both now and in the near future (that is, for at least the next fifty years) because of what the O9A was and is: an esoteric philosophy and now a subculture which is passed-on - transmitted, practised, lived - person to person and over generations.

For tens of thousands of copies of O9A seminal texts {19} - which texts are still available, for now - are spread around the world and include digital and printed versions, enabling individuals to discover the reality of the O9A for themselves and become if they so desire a part of O9A subculture and/or follow the Seven Fold Way. There are also now enough clandestine individuals who, having followed the O9A Seven Fold Way for years, have reached the stage of Internal Adept thus enabling them to clandestinely find and guide at least one novice per decade or two.

In addition, the Establishment itself will, given its nature as an entity, change over the decades, suffer crises, and inevitably - in a hundred or more years - decline and eventually collapse and be replaced. Intimations of the crises which will afflict such governments abound: mass immigration from other lands; climate change; the poverty and violence and crime and discontent within European lands and America; dwindling natural resources; competition from nations such as China; the drift toward controlling government (as in China, Hungry and Russia) and increasing corruption within Western governments, as evidenced by corrupt and egoistical politicians and leaders such as The Vulgarian (the 45th President of America) and the Dishevelled Liar (the Prime Minister of Britain as of June 2022).

Thus do O9A Adepts, and a sagacious minority be they Occultists or otherwise, have an Aeonic perspective and understanding; for all large-scale entities - be they a government, an Establishment, a nation-State, or an Empire (as now manifest in American hegemony) - are human constructs and given our still almost unchanged human nature will inevitably decline and fall and be replaced. {20}

Morena Kapiris
June 2022 ev
v. 1.07

---

(1) By the Establishment is meant those who in modern Western societies have the power, the means, to influence and to shape 'public opinion' on matters political and social. The Establishment thus includes politicians and the incumbent government of America and the governments of Europe countries and often the 'political opposition'; large often multinational business enterprises; the mainstream Media, especially national newspapers and television news media; well-funded special-interest advocacy groups both political and business-orientated; established academics whose work has featured in mainstream publications; and so-called 'independent' or 'freelance' or 'investigative' journalists whose work is used by and/or praised by the mainstream Media.

The orthodoxy of the current Western current era includes the following: the dogma of racial equality; that multiculturalism is 'good'; that those who oppose multiculturalism are 'bad' and that their views should be at best described as "extremism" and at worst suppressed by being made illegal.

In effect, many of the tenets of post-1945 antifascist ideology concerning multiculturalism, racism, and 'extremism', are now mainstream, part of Establishment orthodoxy.

(2) By anarchy in the context of the O9A is meant "that way of living which regards the authority of The State as unnecessary and possibly harmful, and which instead prefers the free and individual choice of mutual and non-
hierarchical co-operation”.


{3} In the O9A novel The Giving, published in 1990, a male who encourages and does such things becomes an opfer. The novel is included in the 718 page compilation ONA 1.0, available at https://archive.org/download/ona-one/ona-one.pdf


{5} https://casetext.com/case/united-states-v-melzer-3


{8} In regard to O9A primary sources:

“Crucial to understanding and appreciating O9A philosophy is the study of the writings of Anton Long, 1976-2012, for they are the primary sources, the only primary sources, of that philosophy. Which writings were published under that name or using the two pseudonyms which he himself has acknowledged, which are (i) “Stephen Brown”, with reference to the two volumes of The Satanic Letters, 1992, and (ii) “Thorold West”, with reference to the manuscript of Naos: A Practical Guide To Modern Magick, 1989. These primary sources include those two works, the four novels of the Deofel Quartet published between 1976 and 1992, The Last Writings of Anton Long published in 2012, and the classic texts The Gentleman’s – and Noble Ladies – Brief Guide to The Dark Arts (2008), Concerning Culling as Art (2011) and The De-Evolutionary Nature of Might is Right (2011).

Other sources - O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 - are therefore secondary and tertiary sources and represent the interpretations and opinions of others regarding Anton Long’s philosophy and the Seven Fold Way that he devised.

For what has hitherto been rejected or ignored by O9A critics, by some O9A supporters, and by all O9A opponents, is the scholarly detail, the view, that not all O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 were written by Anton Long, whomsoever he was. Thus of all the texts in the three volumes of Hostia, published in 1992, only a few have the name Anton Long, or the initials AL, or the name Stephen Brown, attached to them, and it is an un-scholarly but common mistake to assume that uncredited texts - such as the one titled Conquer, Destroy, Create in volume II of Hostia - represent his, that is O9A, philosophy.” https://archive.org/download/o9a-boundaries-philosophy/o9a-boundaries-philosophy-v5.pdf

{9} https://web.archive.org/web/20210305090053/https://omega9alpha.wordpress.com/inner-o9a/


{11} Regarding the Seven Fold Way, refer to The Seven Fold Way Of The Order Of Nine Angles (pp.8-20) in The Seofonfeald Paeth, at https://archive.org/download/09a-trilogy-print/09a-trilogy-print.pdf

{12} A list of suggested Insight Roles is given on p.12-13 of The Seofonfeald Paeth (qv.) and include joining an anarchist group, joining a neo-nazi group, undertaking a long-distance solo cycling expedition, and converting to Islam.

{13} https://archive.org/download/09a-trilogy-print/09a-trilogy-print.pdf


{15} https://casetext.com/case/united-states-v-melzer-3

In regard to the O9A, two classic examples of academics committing a logical fallacy are (i) Massimo Introvigne who commits the fallacy of appeal to authority by not undertaking his own research but instead just stating that Goodrick-Clarke had ‘confirmed’ Myatt was Anton Long (when all Goodrick-Clarke did was claim Myatt was Long) and that Senholt “offered a number of elements confirming that Long was indeed Myatt” when (ii) Senholt himself committed the fallacy of incomplete evidence by ignoring the facts that did not fit his assumption that Myatt was Long. For more details see The Urban Tale Of Myatt And Long, https://web.archive.org/web/20220523105635/https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2022/05/urban-tale-myatt-o9a-v5-1.pdf

That Wikipedia uses both Introvigne and Senholt as ‘reliable sources’ is indicative of their uncritical and Establishment criteria.

The section - lobbied for by large internet companies and ISP’s such as AOL - means that internet companies are not publishers but distributors of content and therefore are not legally liable for the content they distribute.

The three most informative texts are:

(i) the 1520 page compilation Order Of Nine Angles Subculture: A Complete Guide - https://archive.org/download/subculture_complete/o9a-subculture-complete.pdf - which contains (a) a facsimile copy of Naos, (b) the Diary Of An Internal Adept, (c) version 5.07 of Glossary of O9A Terms, (d) the five novels of The Deofel Quintet, and (e) The Sevenfold Seeking And Noesis Of The Hebdomian Way which includes a comprehensive guide to the Star Game;
(ii) The Boundaries Of O9A Philosophy, https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/o9a-boundaries-philosophy-v9.pdf; and
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Since 2018 the antifascist opponents of the Order of Nine Angles (O9A, ONA) have campaigned to get governments to outlaw - proscribe - the O9A on the basis that they believe it to be, among other things, a 'terrorist' and criminal organization. As a result of their beliefs about the O9A the opponents of the O9A have, among other things, written hundreds if not thousands of pages of pejorative texts about the O9A, given dozens of interviews concerning the O9A, and produced and distributed dozens and dozens of items of propaganda - including 'podcasts' and diatribes on 'social media' - about the O9A.

However, what opponents of the O9A have failed to do is follow the evidence which, given their aforementioned beliefs about the O9A, they should have done since in a criminal investigation a fundamental rule is to follow the evidence.

Follow The Evidence

A core part of the anti-O9A campaign was and is the accusation that the O9A condone and incite misogyny, sexual abuse and rape including of children; a baseless accusation stemming from the 'black propaganda' of Joshua Sutter who was paid $78,133.20 plus expenses of $4,378.60 by the FBI between February 7, 2018 and early 2020. {1} Some of his black propaganda, which did incite such vile things, was in the form of books published by Sutter's Martinet Press - such as the despicable novel titled *Iron Gates* - with other black propaganda circulated by the fictitious so-called 'Tempel ov Blood' that Sutter and his wife promoted and claimed was an O9A nexion, whereas the reality is (i) that the O9A is an anarchic subculture {2} which individuals can and do self-identify with in whole or in part, and (ii) being a subculture, it has no leader or hierarchy or organizational structure or regulations or members, and (iii) has no official, sanctioned, semi-official nexions, cells, or chapters with anyone or any group of individuals able to call themselves an O9A nexion, cell, or chapter.

Sutter was also tasked by the FBI with infiltrating a neo-nazi group which he did between 2017 and 2020, culminating in him appearing as a witness for the prosecution in the trial of neo-nazi Kaleb Cole in September 2021. {3}

When asked multiple times - between 2019 and 2022 - by supporters of O9A subculture to produce evidence from O9A texts that the O9A condoned and incited what Sutter as an agent provocateur did incite, antifascist opponents of the O9A failed to do so, even though it was an easy task given the existence of such freely available compilations as (i) the seventh edition of *Definitive Guide To The Order of Nine Angles*, compiled in 2015 and consisting of 1460 pages, {4} and (ii) an archive of the now-defunct O9A website dated January 2012 and consisting of 1135 pages, {5} which compilations provide an extensive archive of O9A texts which date from before Sutter began spreading his black propaganda.

As noted in the above screenshot from a 'social media' post in 2022, there are no O9A texts which condone or incite what Sutter's black propaganda did and what he as a well-paid agent provocateur did incite or persuade a few young men to do.

Had critics of the O9A followed the evidence, readily available since 2015, they would have discovered an O9A - the subculture derived from Anton Long's esoteric philosophy {6} - that despised the patriarchal 'might is right' principle, {7} that championed gender equality and sought to develop muliebral qualities in men, {8} that championed culture and manners {9} as well as honour. {10}

They would also have found a pro-Sapphic novel {11} and a novel where someone doing what the despicable *Iron Gates* book and the despicable Sutter and his fake 'tempel' incited was sacrificed in a pagan ritual. {12}

This evidential O9A is not the phantasmagorical O9A manufactured post-2018 by antifascists based on Sutter's black propaganda; an evidential O9A that journalists and others have never bothered to find or if they somehow did find it would not or dared not admit the existence of since it undermined what had by 2020 become the Establishment orthodoxy about the O9A. {13}

That so many people have not followed the evidence which is freely accessible but instead just believed what antifascists and the Establishment want them to believe about the O9A is revealing. Revealing of just how easily so many people even in these modern times are persuaded by Establishment propaganda. As an Australian author wrote
recently in regard to how Establishment propaganda persuaded people in the West to support one side in another war, this time - unlike Iraq and Afghanistan - in Europe:

"Because selling the war to the public is a built-in component of all war strategy, the war will always look necessary from the mainstream perspective, and it won't look like those other wars which we now know in retrospect were mistakes. It's always designed to look appealing. There's never not going to be atrocity propaganda. There's never not going to be reasons fed to you selling this military intervention as special and completely necessary. That will be the case every single time, because that's how modern wars are packaged and presented [...]

Propaganda is the single most overlooked and underappreciated aspect of our society. It has far more influence over how the public thinks, acts and votes than any of our official mechanisms for doing so, yet it's barely discussed, it isn't taught in schools, and even the best political ideologies barely touch on it relative to their other areas of focus [...]

Our entire civilization is shaped by domestic propaganda." {14}

Morena Kapiris
June 2022

URL's valid as of June 13, 2022 ev


{2} By anarchy in the context of the O9A is meant "that way of living which regards the authority of The State as unnecessary and possibly harmful, and which instead prefers the free and individual choice of mutual and non-hierarchical co-operation". In respect of anarchy, in a letter to Aquino dated 7th September 1990 ev, Anton Long directly and openly stated that "we [the Order of Nine Angles] uphold anarchism." The typewritten letter is reproduced in facsimile in The Satanic Letters, volume I, together with Aquino's reply, available at: https://archive.org/download/satanicletters-1/satanicletters-1.pdf


{3} The Court transcript of his testimony is available at https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/22006156-0928-confidential-source-testimony


{6} Regarding O9A esoteric philosophy:

"Crucial to understanding and appreciating O9A philosophy is the study of the writings of Anton Long, 1976-2012, for they are the primary sources, the only primary sources, of that philosophy. Which writings were published under that name or using the two pseudonyms which he himself has acknowledged, which are (i) 'Stephen Brown', with reference to the two volumes of The Satanic Letters, 1992, and (ii) 'Thorold West', with reference to the manuscript of Naos: A Practical Guide To Modern Magick, 1989. These primary sources include those two works, the four novels of the Deofel Quartet published between 1976 and 1992, The Last Writings of Anton Long published in 2012, and the classic texts The Gentleman's – and Noble Ladies – Brief Guide to The Dark Arts (2008), Concerning Culling as Art (2011) and The De-Evolutionary Nature of Might is Right (2011).

Other sources - O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 - are therefore secondary and tertiary sources and represent the interpretations and opinions of others regarding Anton Long's philosophy and the Seven Fold Way that he devised.

For what has hitherto been rejected or ignored by O9A critics, by some O9A supporters, and by all O9A opponents, is the scholarly detail, the view, that not all O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 were written by Anton Long, whomsoever he was. Thus of all the texts in the three volumes of Hostia, published in 1992, only a few have the name Anton Long, or the initials AL, or the name Stephen Brown, attached to them, and it is an un-scholarly but common mistake to assume that uncredited texts - such as the one titled Conquer, Destroy, Create in volume II of Hostia - represent his, that is O9A, philosophy." https://archive.org/download/o9a-boundaries-philosophy/o9a-boundaries-philosophy-v5.pdf

As noted in that 2011 text:

"One of the manifest errors – distortions – of the Left Hand Path, and of the Satanic, Magian Occultism so prevalent in the West, in the past, as still now, is its patriarchal nature and the fact that it is dominated by the de-evolutionary doctrine of so-called 'might is right' and thus dominated by and infested with male specimens of Homo Hubris who have no sense of honour, no culture, no empathy, no arête, little or no self-honesty, little or no manners, but who instead possess a bloated ego and a very high opinion of themselves.

One might say, with some justification in my view, that this reflects our current societies – that this domination and infestation in the Occult world, within the LHP and Satanism by such specimens, is mirrored by the domination of our societies by such specimens.

The view of women by many if not the majority of these male specimens of Homo Hubris is lamentable, dishonourable, uncultured, prejudiced – and typical of the Magian ethos, and of the Judeo-Nazarene tradition in general […]

The truth is that many women are naturally gifted with qualities that many men still lack – qualities necessary in men for balance, both esoteric and exoteric. And qualities certainly required for someone to become an Internal Adept of our tradition and then pass into and beyond The Abyss, and thus qualities required to bring forth an entirely new and more evolved species of human being"
A recent article published by the Combating Terrorism Center at the Establishment-run West Point Military Academy is a good example of how the Establishment works and has worked in the past few decades to mould ‘public opinion’ in regard to the individuals and groups who oppose multiculturalism. {1}

The article, titled *The Iron March Forum and the Evolution of the Skull Mask Neo-Fascist Network*, {2} written by someone working for the Accelerationism Research Consortium, is an opinion-piece of the type considered a ‘reliable source’ by the Establishment, by Establishment supporters, by the Media, and by those of the special-interest advocacy groups and the public who agree with Establishment orthodoxy in the matter of multiculturalism. It is also the type of ‘reliable source’ used by Wikipedia to inform Joe and Jane public about politicians, political groups, and individuals.

Yet the article is, in the matter of both the subculture known as the Order of Nine Angles (O9A, ONA) and David Myatt, fundamentally flawed with its use as a ‘reliable source’ by anyone amounting to the fallacy of argumentum ad verecundiam, the fallacy of the appeal to authority.

It is flawed for two basic reasons.

1. Under the heading *The Order of Nine Angles and Terrorist Radicalization* the authoress {3} states that “the O9A is a occultist current founded by David Myatt in the late 1960s in the United Kingdom,” for which only one reference is given. The reference is to the book *Black Sun* by Nicholas Goodrick-Clarke in which Goodrick-Clarke associates Myatt with the O9A and states that Myatt founded the O9A, for which claims he provides no evidential facts, no documents, no references, only giving his personal opinion that Myatt was the author of a typewritten manuscript titled *Diablerie* a copy of which is in the British Library and for which attribution Goodrick-Clarke provided no evidential facts.

Neither Goodrick-Clarke nor anyone who uses his personal opinion in that book as ‘proof’ that Myatt founded the O9A and was ‘Anton Long’ has (i) bothered to mention Myatt’s denial of writing that manuscript, (ii) mentioned Myatt’s claim that it is a forgery, or (iii) provided any evidential facts regarding him being Anton Long or of founding the O9A.

2. The authoress provides no citations whatsoever for her opinions about the O9A, instead using unscholarly expressions such as "O9A text. Title withheld for public safety reasons," and "Author's analysis of O9A texts and other primary documents associated with O9A offshoots."

Thus she writes without providing any citations:

"The network’s transition from activism to terrorism was facilitated by the introduction of violent ritualistic initiation practices derived from the writings of the Order of Nine Angles, which helped to habituate members to violence as well as to create a sense of shared membership in a militant elite."

What violent ritualistic initiation practices? What O9A writings are they derived from? The O9A - being the esoteric philosophy of Anton Long as described in his writings and only in his writings {4} - has no such violent ritualistic initiation practices, and there are no writings by Anton Long which condone or incite such practices.

Therefore, at best the authoress is telling fibs; at worst she is writing propaganda on behalf of the Establishment whose propagandistic ill-informed views about the O9A were well-expressed by elected British politicians in 2021:
Conor McGinn, MP for St Helens North:

That their views about the O9A and about Mr Myatt were and are propagandistic and ill-informed is obvious given that their views are not based on evidential facts, as texts such as The Occult Phantom Menace and The Urban Tale Of Myatt And Long have revealed.

Influencing People

That such an article as The Order of Nine Angles and Terrorist Radicalization and the personal opinion of an academic such as Goodrick-Clarke - neither of whom present any evidential facts - are classed as 'reliable sources' is a triumph for the Establishment and their multicultural agenda, and an example of how it is not just the hoi polloi who commit the fallacy of 'appeal to authority', by for instance using such internet sources as Wikipedia, but also that modern academics as well as those who are part of or who support the Establishment and its agenda commit the fallacy.

Which indicates something not only about modern Western societies and modern democracy but also about the hoi polloi, about modern academics, about modern politicians, journalists, the Media, and the Establishment itself.

Will the Government, as highlighted by the group Hope not Hate, whose work I pay tribute to, seek to outlaw the neo-Nazi organisation Order of the Nine Angles, alongside other despicable far-right groups? I pay tribute to my hon. Friend the Member for Barnsley East (Stephanie Peacock) for the work that she continues to do on this as chair of the all-party Hope not Hate group. Clearly, proscription should mark the start and not the end of the process. As such, is the Minister happy with the level of enforcement against proscribed organisations and their members?

Conor McGinn, MP for St Helens North:
Because selling the war to the public is a built-in component of all war strategy, the war will always look necessary from the mainstream perspective, and it won't look like those other wars which we now know in retrospect were mistakes. It's always designed to look appealing. There's never not going to be atrocity propaganda. There's never not going to be reasons fed to you selling this military intervention as special and completely necessary. That will be the case every single time, because that's how modern wars are packaged and presented [...] Propaganda is the single most overlooked and underappreciated aspect of our society. It has far more influence over how the public thinks, acts and votes than any of our official mechanisms for doing so, yet it's barely discussed, it isn't taught in schools, and even the best political ideologies barely touch on it relative to their other areas of focus [...] Our entire civilization is shaped by domestic propaganda." {10}

In regard to the O9A, in order to discredit it in the eyes of the public, the Establishment had to finally resort to black propaganda spread by a paid agent provocateur {11} as well as rely on the prejudice of antifascists and their political and journalist supporters who they rightly assumed would in their prejudice not only believe such propaganda and spread and embellish it but also use it as part of their decades-old campaign to discredit Mr Myatt who antifascists frantically believe is the architect of and the driving force behind the O9A.

That the public, as well as antifascists and their political and journalist supporters and others including academics, commit the fallacy of 'appeal to authority' and other fallacies in connection with the O9A and Mr Myatt, as well as never bother to research the O9A using primary sources {4} reveals, at least to the sagacious minority, not only just how uncultured the societies of the West in reality still are but how our societies and our people are moulded by propaganda. As Myatt wrote in his 2010 essay A Moment Among My Reading: Some Problems With Modern Democracy,

"A study of history, ancient and modern, indicates – at least to me – that such manipulation of the many by the few for the benefit of the few cannot, given human nature en masse, continue indefinitely. That there may well arise such a breakdown of basic services, such perceived inequality, such perceived injustices, such widespread discontent, that revolution, somewhere - peaceful or otherwise - seems almost inevitable, with the attendant suffering that revolutions often cause. Thus will the cyclical nature of human history repeat itself, for we humans apparently have not changed, en masse, significantly enough so that we are personally guided by such virtues as honesty, reason, and εὐταξία to thus be immune to the propagandistic machinations of politicians, demagogues, ideologues, and special interest groups. Instead, it seems that the same fears, hopes, and emotions, still guide us, just as the negative traits of old seem to still guide so many of those few who have, by whatever means, acquired power and authority over the majority.

Can this apparently inevitable suffering-causing cyclicity - such as that of governing cliques and their overthrow, and of the decline of societies – be avoided? My own personal – and admittedly fallible – answer is to reform modern democracy so that leaders and politicians must have such personal character-revealing experience as qualifies them to lead and to govern, with that personal experience consisting of proven and years-long 'front line' service to their country and to their people such as in the armed forces or serving as a 'first responder' in such occupations as paramedic, a police officer, and in the Fire & Rescue service.

However, such a reform by having character-revealing experience as a qualification for political office is unlikely to occur, given vested interests and – dare I say it – an education system which has neglected study in their original language of authors such as Thucydides, Herodotus, Aeschylus, Sophocles, Aristotle, Pliny, Tacitus, and Cicero." {12}

Kerri Scott
2022 ev
v. 1.03

(1) By the Establishment is meant those who in modern Western societies have the power, the means, to influence and to shape 'public opinion' on matters political and social. The Establishment thus includes politicians and the incumbent government and often the 'political opposition', large often multinational business enterprises, the mainstream Media (especially national newspapers and television news media), well-funded special-interest advocacy groups both political and business-orientated, established academics whose work has featured in mainstream publications, and so-called 'independent' or 'freelance' journalists whose work is used by the mainstream Media.

Multiculturalism postulates that a multiracial society is beneficial and preferable to an ethnic society composed of people of one or similar ethnicity and culture, with such a multiracial society giving people of non-Caucasian ethnicity and their culture special consideration which in practice has meant that 'Black' and 'Asian' culture and traditions and groups are encouraged and 'White' ancestral culture and traditions and White-only groups at best deprecated and at worst considered as 'racist' or belonging to the colonialist past.
The Establishment represents the orthodoxy, the zeitgeist, of a particular era, with adherence to or a belief in this orthodoxy a good indication of who or what is part of the Establishment. Thus the orthodoxy of the current Western era includes the following: the dogma of racial equality; that multiculturalism is ‘good’; that those who oppose multiculturalism are ‘bad’; that the colonial past of many Western nations was a manifestation of ‘racism’; that the Shoah cannot be disputed; that Hitler and National Socialist Germany were ‘evil’; that the Zionist entity that now occupies Palestine should be supported with any public criticism of its policies at best ‘ill-informed’ and at worst amounting to ‘anti-semitism’. And so on.

In effect, many of the tenets of antifascist ideology concerning multiculturalism, racism, and ‘hate’, are now mainstream, part of Establishment orthodoxy.


(3) The Establishment orthodoxy of our era states that ‘authoress’ - denoting a female author, and in use since c.1765 ev - is, along with terms such as actress and poetess, now considered a demeaning, derogatory, term; that is, is not politically correct.

(4)

“Crucial to understanding and appreciating O9A philosophy is the study of the writings of Anton Long, 1976-2012, for they are the primary sources, the only primary sources, of that philosophy. Which writings were published under that name or using the two pseudonyms which he himself has acknowledged, which are (i) “Stephen Brown”, with reference to the two volumes of The Satanic Letters, 1992, and (ii) “Thorold West”, with reference to the manuscript of Naos: A Practical Guide To Modern Magick, 1989. These primary sources include those two works, the four novels of the Deofel Quartet published between 1976 and 1992, The Last Writings of Anton Long published in 2012, and the classic texts The Gentleman’s – and Noble Ladies - Brief Guide to The Dark Arts (2008), Concerning Culling as Art (2011) and The De-Evolutionary Nature of Might is Right (2011).

Other sources - O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 - are therefore secondary and tertiary sources and represent the interpretations and opinions of others regarding Anton Long’s philosophy and the Seven Fold Way that he devised.

For what has hitherto been rejected or ignored by O9A critics, by some O9A supporters, and by all O9A opponents, is the scholarly detail, the view, that not all O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 were written by Anton Long, whomsoever he was. Thus of all the texts in the three volumes of Hostia, published in 1992, only a few have the name Anton Long, or the initials AL, or the name Stephen Brown, attached to them, and it is an un-scholarly but common mistake to assume that uncredited texts - such as the one titled Conquer, Destroy, Create in volume II of Hostia - represent his, that is O9A, philosophy.” The Boundaries Of O9A Philosophy, https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/o9a-boundaries-philosophy-v9.pdf


(6) The Urban Tale Of Myatt And Long, https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2022/05/urban-tale-myatt-o9a-v5-1.pdf

(7) According to Myatt, in a modern democracy

"a country generally gets the leaders – presidents, prime ministers – and the government who and which tend to reflect, in their words, promises, and policies, the often changeable fears, hopes, and emotions of a majority of people at election time or at least of the percentage necessary to win an election; with such fears, hopes, and emotions often engendered by the Media, by a specific political party, by a ‘social movement’, and by ‘special interest’ (advocacy) groups or individuals with their own agendas, all of whom seek to influence ‘public opinion’ and the policies of politicians and governments. Such ‘special interest’ groups invariably include those with particular business and political concerns who have the financial resources to employ professional lobbyists, Media consultants, and propagandists.

The result is that the political party and/or particular advocacy groups who have the most money during elections campaigns, and who have the support of a substantial part of the Media, and/or who have a candidate for high office who is a persuasive public speaker, influence the result of elections, having persuaded or influenced the percentage of people necessary to win an election.

In other words, modern elections have become an often cynical process of targeting, persuading, and influencing, people (or specific types of people) by appealing to their fears, their hopes, their emotions, based on specific – and supra-personal – political, social, and business, agendas and interests." A Moment Among My Reading: Some Problems With Modern Democracy, https://davidmyatt.wordpress.com/2010/05/29/the-moment-of-my-reading/

An archive copy of Myatt's article is available at https://archive.org/download/demos-dwm/demos-dwm.pdf
The criteria of scholarship are: (i) a detailed, meticulous, unbiased original research on and concerning a specific topic or topics or subject undertaken over a year or more in duration and involving primary source material; (ii) an ability to be able to read primary sources in their original language; and (iii) a rational assessment of the knowledge acquired by such research, with such conclusions about the topic, topics, or subject being the logical result of the cumulative scholarly learning so acquired.

If the researcher cannot read primary sources in their original language and has to rely on the translations of others then their conclusions are not original and not scholarly just as if they commit logical fallacies - such as argumentum ad verecundiam - then their conclusions are also not scholarly.

The term καλὸς κἀγαθός refers to personal behaviour and thus to the classical virtues of τὸ ἀγαθὸν, τὸ καλὸν, and ἀρετή. As noted in The Boundaries Of O9A Philosophy,

"In a 2021 interview Anton Long stated that the O9A ethos is succinctly expressed by three terms - πάθει-μάθος, καλὸς-κἀγαθός, and kindred-honour and that καλὸς-κἀγαθός enshrines noble personal behaviour and thus personal honour which thus precludes advocating and committing [...] dishonourable deeds." https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/o9a-boundaries-philosophy-v9.pdf

See also Classical Paganism And The Christian Ethos where Myatt writes:

"we are, ontologically, emanations of and presence Being, and are a connexion to the cosmos - to other presencings of Being - through, in terms of epistemology, not only reason (λόγος), perceiverance (νοῦς) and wordless-awareness (συμπάθεια, empathy) but also through τὸ ἀγαθὸν, τὸ καλὸν, and ἀρετή, through the beautiful and the well-balanced, the valourous and honourable, and those who possess arête, all of which are combined in one Greek phrase: καλὸς κἀγαθός, which means those who conduct themselves in a gentlemanly or lady-like manner and who thus manifest - because of their innate phyis or through pathie-mathos or through a certain type of education or learning - nobility of character. Which Greek phrase expresses the ethics, the high personal standards, of the ancient paganus weltanschauung we have been discussing, and which standards naturally resulted in two things. First, in only a minority of individuals in a particular πόλις or civitas - community, tribe, clan, or society - manifesting such standards in their daily lives, with such a minority often forming a natural, and ruling, aristocracy. Second, that it was often a person who lived (and was prepared to die) by such high standards who, because of their character or based on a reputation established through valourous and noble deeds, became or was chosen as the leader or the chieftain of some community, tribe, clan, or society." https://davidmyatt.files.wordpress.com/2018/03/classical-paganism-v2-print.pdf

In regard to the State-sponsored anti-O9A narrative, its black propaganda, the agent provocateur Sutter and his FBI paycheck of nearly US$ 100,000 for work done between 2018 and early 2020, see Birth Of A Demonic Myth in The Occult Phantom Menace, https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/urban-myth-o9a-v7.pdf

It has since come to light that the FBI also funded Sutter's Martinet Press and contracted authors to write the books published by Martinet Press, having paid Sutter nearly US$ 80,000 plus expenses between 2015 and 2017, with Sutter thus having received almost a quarter of a million US dollars for his work as an agent provocateur. That Sutter has not been exposed by the mainstream Media or been the subject of investigative TV documentaries or the subject of questions asked about his FBI paychecks by opposition politicians in America and Britain, is indicative. As is the fact that on the few occasions Sutter has been mentioned by antifascist journalists he is dismissed as a mere FBI confidential informant (CI) which journalists when then asked why Sutter was paid nearly US$ 100,000 by the FBI between 2018 and early 2020 do not reply for they know that no CI is paid that much money, just as these so-called 'investigative journalists' do not reply when asked who wrote the books published by Sutter’s Martinet Press.

An archive copy of Myatt’s article is available at https://archive.org/download/demos-dwm/demos-dwm.pdf

This work is published under the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-ND 4.0) License and can be copied, distributed, and republished, according to the terms of that license.
Antifascist Silence And Fallacies

Haereticus

The Matter Of Silence

In the matter of Joshua Sutter - the well-paid FBI agent provocateur - and his Martinet Press, and also his testimony at the trial of Kaleb Cole, the antifascist 'investigate journalists' and antifascists themselves have failed to answer questions previously asked of them, even though they have based their entire post-2018 anti-O9A narrative and campaign (i) around Sutter's black propaganda - which includes his Martinet Press books - and (ii) on his FBI supported infiltration of an America neo-nazi group and his years-long incitement to get young men to commit crimes including terrorism, paedophilia, and rape and (iii) on him associating the O9A with such crimes.

Since their post-2018 anti-O9A campaign included trying the get the O9A banned by governments as a 'terrorist organization' which incited criminal activities, and given their public moral outrage at what they claimed were the immoral beliefs and activities of the O9A, you would think that they would be interested in finding out the truth by seeking answers to important questions. But no; when asked such questions they just kept repeating their anti-O9A narrative which vilely smeared certain known individuals.

A few of the important questions they should and were repeatedly asked to answer are/were:

1. Why have they never bothered to find and interview Sutter since they based their post-2018 anti-O9A narrative and campaign around Sutter's black propaganda, incitement, and 'undercover' activities?

2. Why have they never investigated the origins and profits of Sutter's Martinet Press and discovered where the profits went and why Sutter is not being prosecuted for his failure to pay tax in regard to those profits?

3. Why have they never investigated who authored the pseudonymous books that Martinet Press published; whether the authors were paid to write them and if so who paid them; and whether the authors received any of the profits made by Martinet Press?

4. Why have they never made any comments about Sutter's testimony at the criminal trial in 2021 of Kaleb Cole and asked (i) why did Sutter travel around America on FBI expenses, use surveillance equipment, and go 'undercover' for years, since those are not the actions of someone they claim is a mere 'confidential informant' but rather the actions of a paid agent provocateur, and (ii) why was Sutter paid a living-wage of US $78,133.20 plus expenses of $4,378.60 by the FBI between February 7, 2018 and early 2020, and (iii) was he still being paid by the FBI before and after the trial of Kaleb Cole.

5. Why have they never, in the matter of the O9A, bothered to (i)

and (ii) even though as noted in Order Of Nine Angles Subculture: Following The Evidence,

"It was an easy task given the existence of such freely available compilations as (i) the seventh edition of Definitive Guide To The Order of Nine Angles, compiled in 2015 and consisting of 1460 pages, \{1\} and (ii) an archive of the now-defunct O9A website dated January 2012 and consisting of 1135 pages, \{2\} which compilations provide an extensive archive of O9A texts which date from before Sutter began spreading his black propaganda." \{3\}

6. Why have they never investigated Sutter's activities during and prior to 2014, before and shortly after he founded Martinet Press and his pretendu 'O9A chapter' he hilariously called the tempel ov blood?

For instance, it was Sutter who publicly disclosed the real name of the founder of what was claimed to be an Australian
O9A nexion following a 2014 internet spat during which the founder was accused by some O9A folk of being one of the 'O9A pretendu crowd'. {4} Sutter's intent, apparently, was to curry favour with the O9A 'Old Guard' and get them to publicly support both Martinet Press and his pretendu nexion, which the Old Guard did not do just as they did not corresponded with him since they were already aware of who was behind Martinet Press. Just prior to that disclosure by Sutter of the identity of that founder, Sutter had in January and February of 2014 duplicity encouraged the Australian to post a piece of propaganda titled Child Pornography and the o9a on a 'satanic' internet forum (the 600club); which propaganda was laughed at by even non-O9A 'satanists' but which was a trial run for Sutter's later torrent of 'black propaganda' designed to discredit the O9A.

In addition, a few years earlier Sutter through an intermediary and at the behest of his FBI 'handlers' tried to arrange a meeting with both Anton Long and Myatt in England in the hope of 'drawing them out'. The attempt failed even though the intermediary was an academic who had received a Degree from a Canadian university and was in contact with the lady who founded an American O9A nexion in the late aughts. According to information we received, the academic, at the behest of the FBI, would later go on to write the Martinet Press book The Devil's Quran published in 2014.

7. Why have they never answered a question relating to Mr Myatt whom they all religiously believe, without any evidential proof, is 'Anton Long' and thus the alleged founder of the O9A. {5} Our question derives from what one of them wrote in early 2022: that the CIA found some of Myatt's Islamic writings in the possession of bin Laden following bin Laden's killing by a team of American Navy Seals in 2011.

When SEAL Team Six cleared Osama bin Laden's Abbottabad compound in the frantic early morning raid after they killed the al Qaeda leader, they grabbed every document and hard drive that could reveal details about the terror network.

The question is: if the claim is true, did Myatt know or was he in contact with bin Laden? At the very least his Islamic writings were highly regarded by some Muslims, including it seems by Osama bin Laden. If the claim is false, then why are the CIA linking Myatt with bin Laden? The failure to answer the question is perhaps because, however they answer it, the CIA claim of a link between Myatt and bin Laden bolsters Myatt's image, with the only other option - that the journalist who reported the CIA claim was lying - harming the reputation of one of their own.

Their silence in respect of such questions has led many to conclude that for all their claims about being independent, 'investigative', freelance, or whatever, that they are now if they were not so in the past just another part of, savants of, {5} the Establishment.

**

(4) Several articles in the compilation Satanism Plebeianized And O9A Pretenders - including The Outing of Kris McDermott - contain screenshots and quotations from the 2014 spat: https://archive.org/download/o9a-pretenders/o9a-pretenders.pdf
(5) Savant is O9A-speak for someone who is supine and/or who appeases or who soothes their master or masters, often by agreeing with them or doing their bidding. The usage is from the Icelandic sefa.

******

The Matter Of Fallacies

οὐκ οἶδ᾽ ἐφ᾽ οἷς γὰρ μὴ φρονῶ σιγᾶν φιλῶ

Those who at the time followed or subsequently read compilations of spats - disputations - between opponents and supporters of O9A philosophy on internet forums or more recently on 'social media', may have noticed just how often O9A opponents, especially antifascists, commit fallacies of reasoning. {1}{2}

We could be generous and suggest that some of those committing such fallacies - such as some journalists - do so for propagandistic reasons based on an arrogant belief that most people will not notice their flawed arguments, and/or on their fanatical desire to convince people that their phantasmagorical O9A really does exist. But to be honest, based on the evidence, we just cannot give them the benefit of the doubt in this matter.

In regard to the disputations themselves, despite what many - both pro-O9A and anti-O9A - have written over the past forty years and even now write about such seeming ephemera, they were/are just one tactical means whereby what is/was O9A and germane to the O9A might be presenced in cyberspace to perhaps cause (i) what is exoterically
designated by the term 'Order of Nine Angles' to come to the attention of one or two possibly promising individuals, and/or (ii) to add to the confusion, perplexity, and annoyance, of others, and/or (iii) reveal the physis of those who were/are opponents of the O9A. (2)

As a useful guide for readers we list here the most common fallacies committed for over forty years by opponents and critics of the O9A who also repeat ad nauseam cliché after cliché and disproven claim after disproven claim.

1. The fallacy of illicit transference. This is when a generalization is made from a few specific instances or examples with the generalization used to pejoratively describe the subject under discussion: as in someone citing a few instances when some persons alleged to have been influenced by the O9A have committed crimes and then claiming that the O9A is responsible for such crimes or incites such crimes.

Sometimes the persons/criminals are described as being actual members of the O9A itself, and/or as being the leader or a member of some so-called O9A nexion, cell, or chapter - for an example, see Appendix I - whereas:

"the reality is (i) that the O9A is a subculture which individuals can and do self-identify with in whole or in part, and (ii) has no leader or hierarchy or organizational structure or regulations or members, and (iii) has no official, sanctioned, nexions, cells, or chapters with anyone or any group of friends free to call themselves an O9A nexion, cell, or chapter." (3)

Furthermore, no subculture can be held responsible for the actions, criminal or otherwise, of those who self-identify with that subculture, just as the Gospels are not responsible for Christian priests or ministers who commit crimes.

2. Argumentum ad hominem, which belongs to the category ignoratio elenchi. Argumentum ad hominem is when the character and/or the motives ad/or the identity of the person presenting an argument is/are maligned or called into question often in an attempt to deflect attention away from the topic being discussed.

In the case of the O9A this often happens when an opponent of the O9A has been asked to cite specific pre-2015 O9A texts which incite what the opponent claims the O9A incites, such as sexual abuse and misogyny.

One of the most common ad hominem used by O9A opponents in an attempt to distract attention away from their failure answer questions and/or their failure to provide evidence in support of their claim(s), is to accuse their adversary of being Myatt, with some discussants even going on to address their adversary as 'Dave'.

See also The Aquino Fallacy, below.

3. Ad Populum. This is when a person 'follows the crowd' and believes or claims that because so many others believe or claim something it must be right. A typical example is when an O9A opponent claims that Myatt is/must be Anton Long because so many people have said or written he is or believe he is.

4. Appeal To Authority. Also known as argumentum ad verecundiam. Rather than undertaking their own scholarly research using primary sources (4) this is when someone references what others have said or written about a matter because they believe or claim that those others are 'reliable sources' or authorities on the matter.

A modern example of the fallacy of Appeal To Authority is citing the Wikipedia article about the O9A despite the reality being that what Wikipedia uses as a so-called 'reliable source' is not scholarly research using primary sources but (i) articles published in mainstream newspapers (printed and digital) and (ii) printed books written by journalists and others which are published by mainstream publishers even if the authors of such books and articles commit fallacies of reasoning such as the appeal to authority and the fallacy of Incomplete Evidence. That is, for Wikipedia the criteria is not evidential facts established by unbiased scholarly research but the sources being published or broadcast by mainstream, established, mediums, which thus makes Wikipedia reproduce or cite propaganda, opinion-pieces, and authors who commit fallacies of reasoning. In the case of the O9A, Wikipedia is an unreliable source since it cites articles and items which claim such things as (i) 'members' of the O9A or of an O9A nexion have committed certain crimes and (ii) that the O9A has incited and condoned such crimes, even though (i) since the O9A is an esoteric philosophy now presenced as a subculture there are not and have never been any O9A members or official nexions and (ii) such cited articles and items provide no evidence whatsoever from O9A primary sources regarding inciting and condoned such crimes.

Another example of the fallacy is citing what others, including academics, have written about Myatt - especially about him being Anton Long - even though the authors of such sources have themselves committed fallacies and/or have not
undertaken their own scholarly research using primary sources, facts revealed for instance in the text *The Urban Tale Of Myatt And Long* (5).

5. Incomplete/Suppressed Evidence. This is when evidence which disproves or may disprove a claim or conclusion is not considered either deliberately (suppressed evidence) or because of a lack of detailed and scholarly research. A example in respect of the O9A is the research of Jacob Senholt which formed a chapter in a book about Satanism (6) and which chapter has been cited as ‘authoritative’ in regard to the O9A with those thus citing it committing the fallacy of Appeal To Authority. Senholt’s claims, based on a lack of detailed and scholarly research, have been debunked in *The Urban Tale Of Myatt And Long* (5) an extract from which is provided in Appendix II.

6. The Aquino Fallacy

This is when a disputant claims that the pseudonymous Anton Long, architect of the O9A and author of the texts which are the primary sources of O9A esotericism, is/must be David Myatt. It is a fallacy - defective reasoning - because it is an unproven assumption originating in either a personal, irrational, prejudice or in the acceptance of other fallacies such as ad populum and argumentum ad verecundiam.

Part of this fallacy is the claim that since one or more ONA blogs or websites, or some articles, use the same or similar styling and/or layout as some blog or website or article by or assumed to be by Myatt, it means that Myatt is behind them all – clearly ignoring the obvious fact that such similarities, if not just coincidental, could well be a deliberate imitation designed to get mundanes to jump to such a silly, fallacious, conclusion.

A recent example of this fallacy was provided in the section titled *The O9A Manual Of Style And The Aquino Fallacy* in issue 7 of Omega9Alpha News, June 2022 ev. (7)

No Scholarly Research

The reality is that in over forty years no one - journalist, academic, or author - has researched the O9A in a scholarly manner using primary sources. (4) Those who have written about the O9A have committed one of more of the above fallacies, and/or written opinion-pieces and provided no evidential facts in support of their opinion, and/or like a certain antifascist advocacy group have produced propaganda laced with lies, (8) and/or as in the recent case of a certain government-funded policy institute just told fibs such as blandly stating the O9A has “violent ritualistic initiation practices” and providing as a ‘citation’ the propagandistic, laughable: “O9A text. Title withheld for public safety reasons.” (9)

As noted in our recent *How To Tell Fibs And Influence People* what is indicated by all this:

>"is the astonishing lack of logical reasoning among the populace and among the educated professional class; an astonishing acceptance of propaganda by the majority, and an astonishing lack of scholarship in academia; and astonishing because of the well-established tertiary educational system of Western societies and the mandatory schooling of children.

Such an astonishing lack of logical reasoning among the peoples of the West after thousands of years of civilization is an indictment of modern government, an indictment of the modern State; an indictment of mass urban living; and an indictment of the modern educational system. It is also an example of how the causal abstractions now embedded in ideologies and political parties as well as in the educational system have triumphed over pathei-mathos and the virtues of καλὸς κἀγαθὸς." (9)

**

(1) Appendix I provides extracts from a recent exchange of comments on a ‘social media’ platform between a journalist and a supporter of O9A philosophy.


Extracts from older spats are available (pp.44ff) in *Satanism Plebeianized And O9A Pretenders*, https://archive.org /download/o9a-pretenders/o9a-pretenders.pdf

(2) Since some O9A folk are - as is consistent with O9A aesthetics - japers by nature it is perhaps pertinent to quote the following, published in 2015:

>“The opining about the O9A by plebeians has provided - and continues to provide - the occult cognoscenti with some wry amusement. Such opining plebeians have also, as mentioned previously, contributed - even if only in a minor, short-lived, manner - to the Labyrinthos Mythologicus of the Order of Nine Angles; providing as their posts and opining do yet one more means to distinguish those who are already, or who possess the potential to become, one of the occult cognoscenti and who therefore can find their way through the
labyrinthine labyrinth that surrounds the O9A and thus solve the enigma that is the O9A by, for example, a years-long following an O9A praxis or through a scholarly study of esotericism and O9A texts." Satanism Plebeianized And O9A Pretenders, op. cit.

{3} The reality is (i) that the O9A is a subculture which individuals can and do self-identify with in whole or in part, and (ii) being a subculture, it has no leader or hierarchy or organizational structure or regulations or members, and (iii) has no official, sanctioned, nexions, cells, or chapters with anyone or any group of friends free to call themselves an O9A nexion, cell, or chapter.


{4} By scholarly is meant: (i) meticulous research focused on a specific matter or topic undertaken over a period of at least a year using primary source material; and (ii) a reasoned, unbiased, assessment of the knowledge acquired by such research, with one's conclusions about the matter or topic being logically derived.

In respect of O9A primary sources:

"Crucial to understanding and appreciating O9A philosophy is the study of the writings of Anton Long, 1976-2012, for they are the primary sources, the only primary sources, of that philosophy. Which writings were published under that name or using the two pseudonyms which he himself has acknowledged, which are (i) “Stephen Brown”, with reference to the two volumes of The Satanic Letters, 1992, and (ii) “Thorold West”, with reference to the manuscript of Naos: A Practical Guide To Modern Magick”, 1989. These primary sources include those two works, the four novels of the Deofel Quartet published between 1976 and 1992, The Last Writings of Anton Long published in 2012, and the classic texts The Gentleman’s - and Noble Ladies - Brief Guide To The Dark Arts (2008), Concerning Culling as Art (2011) and The De-Evolutionary Nature of Might is Right (2011).

Other sources - O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 - are therefore secondary and tertiary sources and represent the interpretations and opinions of others regarding Anton Long's philosophy and the Seven Fold Way that he devised.

For what has hitherto been rejected or ignored by O9A critics, by some O9A supporters, and by all O9A opponents, is the scholarly detail, the view, that not all O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 were written by Anton Long, whomsoever he was. Thus of all the texts in the three volumes of Hostia, published in 1992, only a few have the name Anton Long, or the initials AL, or the name Stephen Brown, attached to them, and it is an un-scholarly but common mistake to assume that uncredited texts - such as the one titled Conquer, Destroy, Create in volume II of Hostia - represent his, that is O9A, philosophy. The Boundaries Of O9A Philosophy, https://web.archive.org/web/2020428144722/https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/o9a-boundaries-philosophy-v9.pdf

Thus, scholarly research into the O9A - and thus into its philosophy and subculture - would involve obtaining and researching the writings authored by Anton Long between 1976-2012, and those writings alone. The scholar would then be able to answer questions regarding whether Anton Long - and thus the O9A - condoned or incited such things as misogyny.

{5} https://web.archive.org/web/20220523105635/https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2022/05/urban-tale-myatt-o9a-v5-1.pdf

A classic example of someone in academia committing the fallacy of appeal to authority is Massimo Introvigne who in his book Satanism: A Social History (Brill, Leiden, 2016) commented on the O9A. He not did not do any research of his own using O9A primary sources but simply stated that Goodrick-Clarke had 'confirmed' Myatt was Anton Long, and that Senholt "offered a number of elements confirming that Long was indeed Myatt", whereas: (i) Goodrick-Clarke just stated that Myatt was Long based on his claim that Myatt was the author of a typewritten manuscript - later denounced by Myatt as a forgery - titled Diablerie, Revelations of a Satanist a copy of which is in the British Library. Goodrick-Clarke provided no evidence for his claim; (ii) Senholt - probably unintentionally - committed the fallacy of incomplete evidence by ignoring facts and circumstances which contradicted his claim that Myatt was Long, which neglected facts and circumstances are given in Appendix II.


{7} https://web.archive.org/web/20220624103407/https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2022/05/o9a-news-issue-seven.pdf


{9} https://web.archive.org/web/20220624103642/https://theo9away.files.wordpress.com/2022/05/lies-about-o9a-v1c.pdf
Appendix I

An Example Of The Fallacy Of Illicit Transference

In regard to the smearing of the O9A in *The Satanist Neo-Nazi Plot to Murder U.S. Soldiers* article, a supporter of O9A subculture posted on a 'social media' platform in 2022:

> Antifascists et al were asked ages ago to cite O9A primary sources promoting/inciting the disgusting things Sutter promoted/incited. No one did. There are no such O9A primary sources. It’s all black propaganda by Sutter paid for by FBI and believed by antifascists.

Which led to the authoress of the offending article replying to the original post:

> “The Order of Nine Angles side of the story” - is that before/after the opfer, the insight role, the pedophilia or the rape?

Which resulted in the following response:

> I’ve asked many times for anyone to cite where *Order of Nine Angles* primary sources condone sexual abuse or misogyny or what Sutter promoted. No one has. Such sources promote an anti-patriarchal ethos and sapphism among other things.

The authoress replied with:

> If you’re looking for an O9A/ToB tie-in, there’s plenty; other NA members currently serving time for pedophilia & child abuse offenses have documented histories with the Satanist cult, most notably Ryan Fleming of the Drakon Covenant nexion.

To which the answer was:

> Fallacy of illicit transference. Is O9A subculture responsible for the despicable actions of those who self-identify with that subculture? Are the Gospels responsible for Christian priests or ministers who commit sexual offences or crimes such as murder?

That is, authoress not only:

(i) committed a common fallacy of reasoning by making a generalization from a few specific instances or examples and then used the generalization to pejoratively describe the subject;

but also

(ii) failed to appreciate or did not take into consideration or did not know that the O9A is a subculture, and is not a group with 'members' and therefore has no official cells/nexions/chapters.

In addition, as in common in matters O9A, she did not respond (i) in regard to the matter of the culpability of subcultures or religions when their followers/adherents commit crimes, or (ii) in regard to providing evidence from O9A primary sources for her claims.
Senholt - after claiming along with many others, and like them without providing any evidence, that Myatt wrote the terrorist manual *A Practical Guide to Aryan Revolution* which allegedly influenced David Copeland (1) - presents his circumstantial evidence that Myatt=Long.

i) He mentions a 1978 text, *Copula cum Daemone*, which he claims was written by a DW Myatt and was "in a collection of ONA manuscripts" manuscripts but does give the title of this collection nor any details of publication or images of the text nor any evidence that it was written by Myatt. He then claims that in later digital editions of this text - which again he provides no references to or images of - it was attributed to various other authors and concludes that this is "a clear example of a text originally issued by Myatt, and later disguised with a pseudonym."

In other words, he does not provide any evidential facts but presents only his personal opinion.

ii) He goes on to claim that the *Diablerie* manuscript "reveals details of Long's life that appear remarkably similar to Myatt's own life" and cites Goodrick-Clarke and yet as with Goodrick-Clarke he does not provide comparisons using research based on documentary evidence from primary sources regarding Myatt's life.

Thus and yet again he does not provide any evidential facts but presents only his personal opinion.

iii) His next claim is that since writings by Myatt and the ONA have been published by the same publisher there "is a direct connection" which is a spurious conclusion since publishers often print items from various authors which does not mean the various authors are connected in any way.

iv) His next piece of circumstantial evidence "concerns the use of alternate dating-systems", about which he states that since both Myatt in his National Socialist writings and the ONA use "yf, designating the Year of the Führer" there is a connection, neglecting to mention - or failing to discover through research - that other groups such as the Ku Klux Klan and William Pierce of National Alliance fame and other neo-nazis have used the same dating system, both in its English form and its German form of 'Jahr des Fuhrers'. (2)

(v) His final piece of circumstantial evidence is linguistic, claiming that "when one has a closer look at many of the basic ideas and the terminology used in the ONA, it appears as if there are many glaring similarities to Myatt's own ideas." He cites terms such as Homo Galactica, causal and acausal, and Aeons while failing to mention that such borrowing of terms, ideas and concepts, is and has been common for centuries and is not evidence of a direct and personal link between those using such terms, ideas and concepts.

Following these claims, he further claims that Myatt's diverse and exeatic life is an example of O9A Insight Roles which mean "gaining real-life experience by working undercover for a period of 6-18 months".

Of five Insight Roles Senholt cites three - (a) "Join or form a covert insurrectionary organization, dedicated to National Socialism", (b) "Convert to Islam and aid, through words, or deeds, or both, those undertaking Jihad against Zionism and the NWO", and (c) "Join or form a National Socialist group or organization, and aid that organization and especially aid and propagate historical revisionism" - which he claims Myatt has undertaken.

What Senholt neglects to mention is that Myatt promoted National Socialism for thirty years (1968-1998) not for the "6-18 months" of an Insight Role, and was a Muslim who supported Jihad for over ten years (1998-2009) not for the "6-18 months" of an Insight Role. Which places Senholt's claim into perspective. In addition, he does not mention the obvious facts that the O9A might have been inspired by the exeatic nature of Myatt's exeatic life to concoct such Insight Roles, and that Myatt's life does not include other suggested roles such as being an assassin and joining the police or the armed forces.

In summary, Senholt's thesis does not provide any evidential facts in regard to Myatt being Anton Long or having founded the O9A. That it is often cited as 'proof' of that urban tale thus provides another classic example of people committing the fallacy of argumentum ad verecundiam.

* * *

(1) Following Myatt's arrest in early 1998 by police officers from Special Branch based at Scotland Yard, the British police in conjunction with the Canadian police and the FBI spent three years trying to prove that Myatt wrote that document, having seized his computers and files, searched his home for over seven hours, and travelled to places such as Canada to interview witnesses. They failed to find any evidence and Myatt was released from his bail in the Summer of 2001.

The Urban Tale Of Myatt And Long

David Myatt, 2005

There is a modern urban tale that David Myatt is not only ‘Anton Long’ of Order of Nine Angles (O9A, ONA) fame but also founded the O9A in the 1970s and was its leader for many decades. Myatt himself has consistently denied - on his word of honour - being ‘Anton Long’ and founding the O9A. Supporters of Myatt have argued that in over forty years no one has provided any evidential facts (evidence acceptable in a Court of Law) that prove that Myatt is Long or that he founded and led the O9A.

Evidential facts in this case include evidence from primary sources, such as documents which forensic linguistics (for example) suggest Myatt may have written 2 or which Myatt under caution admitted to writing; a confession or signed statement by Myatt; sworn testimony by witnesses who are available for cross-examination; forensically verified video/audio recordings of Myatt admitting to being Long and/or of having founded the O9A; and so on.

Lacking such evidential facts, those who believe this urban tale usually do so on the basis of one or more of the following: (i) the fallacy of ad populum, claiming it is “self-evident” because so many others believe it; (ii) the fallacy of argumentum ad verecundiam – appeal to authority - because others including the media, some academics and journalists and political activists and politicians have said or written that he is Long and did found the O9A; (iii) hearsay; and (iv) the fallacy of Incomplete Evidence - also known as the fallacy of suppressed evidence - when material concerning or assumptions about a particular matter are selected and presented to support a particular argument or conclusion while other material or assumptions which do not support, which contradict, the chosen argument or conclusion are withheld or not discussed. Thus, selective evidence and/or selective argument are employed in order to ‘prove’ a particular point, with such selectively being deliberate, or the result of fallacious reasoning or the result of unscholarly research because secondary and tertiary sources rather than primary sources are used.

In the matter of argumentum ad verecundiam, the most cited authorities are: (i) the book Black Sun: Aryan Cults, Esoteric Nazism and the Politics of Identity published in 2001 and written by Nicholas Goodrick-Clarke 2; (ii) a 2009 MA thesis titled Political Esotericism & the convergence of Radical Islam, Satanism and National Socialism in the Order of the Nine Angles; written by Jacob Senholt, a revised version of which was published as a chapter in the 2012 book The Devil's Party - Satanism in Modernity; 3 (iii) a chapter in a 2016 book by Massimo Introvigne titled Satanism: A Social History; 4 (iv) a 1998 article titled The Most Evil Nazi in Britain in the antifascist Searchlight magazine; (v) a recorded 1998 interview with Myatt by an antifascist employed by the publisher of the Searchlight magazine; and (vi) the article about Myatt in the internet encyclopedia known as Wikipedia.

We shall examine each of these ‘authorities’.

* Goodrick-Clarke

His identification of Myatt as ‘Anton Long’ is solely based on his claim that Myatt was the author of a typewritten manuscript titled Diablerie, Revelations of a Satanist a copy of which is in the British Library. He provides no evidence, no sources, for this claim of his, or for his other claims such as that “the ONA was founded by David Myatt“ and that Myatt was “a long time devotee of satanism.”

Goodrick-Clarke also failed to research and provide any documentary evidence from primary sources regarding Myatt’s life so that it could be compared to the life described in the Diablerie manuscript; failed to ask Myatt himself about the manuscript - which Myatt had denounced as a forgery - and in addition makes several factual errors including the year of Myatt’s birth, the year he first met Colin Jordan, and that Myatt’s two terms of imprisonment were both for six months. He also failed to mention relevant facts such as Myatt’s 1970s arrest by the Yorkshire Regional Crime Squad and Myatt’s subsequent criminal trial and conviction for organizing and leading a gang of thieves.

Goodrick-Clarke therefore presents only his personal opinion and thus his book does not provide any evidential facts in regard to Myatt being Anton Long or having founded the O9A.

That Goodrick-Clarke's book is and has been often cited as ‘proof’ of that urban tale thus provides a classic example of the fallacy of argumentum ad verecundiam, the fallacy of appeal to authority.

° Goodrick-Clarke
In his thesis, Senholt - after claiming along with many others, and like them without providing any evidence, that Myatt wrote the terrorist manual *A Practical Guide to Aryan Revolution* which allegedly influenced David Copeland - presents his circumstantial evidence that Myatt=Long.

i) He mentions a 1978 text, *Copula cum Daemone*, which he claims was written by a DW Myatt and was "in a collection of ONA manuscripts" manuscripts but does give the title of this collection nor any details of publication or images of the text nor any evidence that it was written by Myatt. He then claims that in later digital editions of this text - which again he provides no references to or images of - it was attributed to various other authors and concludes that this is "a clear example of a text originally issued by Myatt, and later disguised with a pseudonym."

In other words, he does not provide any evidential facts but presents only his personal opinion.

ii) He goes on to claim that the Diablerie manuscript "reveals details of Long's life that appear remarkably similar to Myatt's own life" and cites Goodrick-Clarke and yet as with Goodrick-Clarke he does not provide comparisons using research based on documentary evidence from primary sources regarding Myatt's life.

Thus and yet again he does not provide any evidential facts but presents only his personal opinion.

iii) His next claim is that since writings by Myatt and the ONA have been published by the same publisher there "is a direct connection" which is a spurious conclusion since publishers often print items from various authors which does not mean the various authors are connected in any way.

iv) His next piece of circumstantial evidence "concerns the use of alternate dating-systems", about which he states that since both Myatt in his National Socialist writings and the ONA use "yf, designating the Year of the Führer" there is a connection, neglecting to mention - or failing to discover through research - that other groups such as the Ku Klux Klan and William Pierce of National Alliance fame and other neo-nazis have used the same dating system, both in its English form and its German form of 'Jahr des Fuhrers'.

(v) His final piece of circumstantial evidence is linguistic, claiming that "when one has a closer look at many of the basic ideas and the terminology used in the ONA, it appears as if there are many glaring similarities to Myatt's own ideas." He cites terms such as Homo Galactica, causal and acausal, and Aeons while failing to mention that such borrowing of terms, ideas and concepts, is and has been common for centuries and is not evidence of a direct and personal link between those using such terms, ideas and concepts.

Following these claims, he further claims that Myatt's diverse and exeatic life is an example of O9A Insight Roles which mean "gaining real-life experience by working undercover for a period of 6-18 months".

Of five Insight Roles Senholt cites three - (a) "Join or form a covert insurrectionary organization, dedicated to National Socialism", (b) "Convert to Islam and aid, through words, or deeds, or both, those undertaking jihad against Zionism and the NWO", and (c) "Join or form a National Socialist group or organization, and aid that organization and especially aid and propagate historical revisionism" - which he claims Myatt has undertaken.

What Senholt neglects to mention is that Myatt promoted National Socialism for thirty years (1968-1998) not for the "6-18 months" of an Insight Role, and was a Muslim who supported jihad for over ten years (1998-2009) not for the "6-18 months" of an Insight Role. Which places Senholt's claim into perspective. In addition, he does not mention the obvious facts that the O9A might have been inspired by the exeatic nature of Myatt's exeatic life to concoct such Insight Roles, and that Myatt's life does not include other suggested roles such as being an assassin and joining the police or the armed forces.

In summary, Senholt's thesis does not provide any evidential facts in regard to Myatt being Anton Long or having founded the O9A. That it is often cited as 'proof' of that urban tale thus provides another classic example of people committing the fallacy of argumentum ad verecundiam.

* Massimo Introvigne

In his treatment of Myatt and the O9A, Introvigne himself commits and relies on the fallacy of argumentum ad verecundiam by blandly stating that Goodrick-Clarke had ‘confirmed’ Myatt was Anton Long and that Senholt “offered a number of elements confirming that Long was indeed Myatt”. Relies on, because Introvigne offers no evidential facts whatsoever, provides no research of his own, in regard to Myatt being Anton Long or having founded the O9A.

* Wikipedia And Other Articles

A modern example of argumentum ad verecundiam occurs when readers use an internet source such as Wikipedia as an authority even though what Wikipedia claims is a ‘reliable source’ includes articles in mainstream newspapers (printed and digital) and printed books and articles published by mainstream publishers written by journalists and others even if the authors of such books and articles commit fallacies such as argumentum ad verecundiam and the fallacy of Incomplete Evidence. Thus, in the case of Wikipedia the criteria is not evidential facts but the sources being published or broadcast by mainstream, established, mediums.
Hence why in the matter of Myatt and the O9A the respective Wikipedia articles rely on sources such as Senholt, Introvigne, Goodrick-Clarke, opinion pieces by antifascists, and articles from mainstream media sources. No evidential facts are presented in either case with the Myatt article for instance blandly stating in its ‘Infobox’ that Myatt was involved with the O9A between 1974 and 2016.

A classic example of other articles mentioning Myatt whose author(s) commit the fallacy of argumentum ad verecundiam - and the type of source considered ‘reliable’ by Wikipedia - is the CTC Sentinel published by the ‘Combating Terrorism Centre At West Point' whose December 2021 issue stated that “the O9A is a occultist current founded by David Myatt in the late 1960s in the United Kingdom” and which publication only cites the personal opinion of Goodrick-Clarke as the ‘authority’ for such a statement of belief.

* A 1998 Article

In 1998 the antifascist Searchlight organization devoted most of the April issue of its magazine to Myatt under the headline The Most Evil Nazi In Britain. Over the intervening years the article has been repeatedly cited as ‘proof’ of Myatt’s involvement with the O9A despite the article providing neither sources nor evidential facts for the numerous accusations made in regard to Myatt.

Among the many accusations made in the article were:

§ “Myatt is a leading member of the Order of Nine Angles (ONA) a satanic group that is considered extreme even by other satanists.”

No evidence is presented for this accusation of him being a member, and neither is evidence presented for what constitutes being a ‘member’ of the ONA.

§ That the ONA was “formed by Myatt himself in the 1980s.”

No evidence is presented for this accusation.

§ That Myatt had recently “shaved off his long-time beard” in order to disguise himself.

Propaganda lie, as any journalist, any local person, or any person from Combat18/NSM, could have discovered by visiting the village where Myatt lived or the nearby farm where he worked, both localities widely known given his arrest earlier in 1998 at his home by detectives from SO12 Scotland Yard.

§ That “in recent weeks [Myatt] has become increasingly concerned about journalists closing in on his perverted behaviour.”

Propaganda lie, with Myatt at the time on bail following his arrest for incitement to murder with one of his bail conditions being to regularly attend Charing Cross police station in London, the nearest station to Scotland Yard, with Myatt having already issued a defiant public Reply To Allegations, and with journalists warned by the police not to prejudice the on-going criminal investigation and case against Myatt.

The use of the gutter-press smear “perverted behaviour” indicates the defamatory nature of the item and the bias of the author, especially since what such behaviour is alleged to be is never stated.

§ “Myatt and his satanist friends.”

The only persons named are Joe Short and Vic Norris. In regard to Joe Short no evidence is presented to prove he is a satanist so it is just a gutter-press smear.

As regards Norris it is claimed that he is “a close political and satanic friend of Myatt,” but again no evidence is supplied to link the two, and no academic has mentioned Norris in connection with Myatt. The author claims that Norris and Myatt became acquainted through “their common interest in satanism” but again provides no evidence whatsoever for what is yet another gutter-press smear.

§ “Myatt and the ONA hide behind a series of Post Office boxes across Britain.”

Since Post Office boxes in Britain require(d) the user to registrar their name and address there is no “hiding”, with author providing no details of who these alleged users are, and despite his boast about having conducted a ‘special investigation’ he provides no documentary evidence of who collects mail from these boxes or what literature is distributed from them.

The writer of the article would returns to this claim about post office box in his 1998 interview with Myatt - see below - where he makes allegations but fails to provide any documentary evidence.

* A 1998 Interview

In 1998 Myatt, who at the time lived with his wife and family in a village near Malvern and was the leader of the National-Socialist Movement, agreed to a recorded interview with an antifascist employed by the Searchlight
organization. The interview took place in the small town of Craven Arms in Shropshire with Myatt travelling alone by train while the antifascist arrived by car accompanied by a burly bodyguard. The interview took place in a typical English Pub not far from the railway station and consisted of the antifascist asking Myatt questions which Myatt politely answered.

During the interview - which since 2021 has been available on the Internet and has elicited numerous responses - three themes dominated: Myatt's alleged involvement in the satanic group the Order of Nine Angles, whether Myatt was 'Anton Long', and the post office boxes used or allegedly used by the O9A in distributing their literature.

In the interview Myatt, on his word of honour, denied being Anton Long many times, as he denied, again on his word of honour, any practical involvement with the O9A. He also explained that some of the material, allegedly by the O9A, that the antifascist produced, described, or referenced, was despicable and that he would have nothing to do with the people who wrote such things.

As the interview revealed, and as the antifascist later never tired of saying, he believed that in the post boxes he had evidence of not only Myatt being Anton Long but also of Myatt's involvement with the O9A, and at one point in the interview made the naive ploy that Myatt had been filmed collecting mail from one such box. Myatt knowing from experience of police interviews this was a ruse, smiled, and mentioned he was simply doing a favour for a friend whom he refused to name because he had given his word of honour never to do so.

Unbeknown to the antifascist at the time, Myatt had mentioned doing a favour for an occult-involved friend in correspondence with Professor Kaplan who, regarding a letter from Myatt dated 20 June 1996, wrote in a book published in 1998 that Myatt's "interaction with England's occult underground was undertaken in a clandestine effort to influence those to adopt National Socialist beliefs [...] and that his relationship with the ONA is based as well on personal friendship and an agreement to disagree on many things." Kaplan additionally cited a letter Myatt had written to another person in July 1994 which said exactly the same thing.

During the long interview with the antifascist, Myatt never shouted, or ranted, or lost his temper but remained polite, despite the antifascist repeatedly asking the same questions, saying the same things over and over again, and attempted to twist what Myatt had said. The antifascist even noted several times that Myatt smiled when asked a question.

At one point the antifascist pointedly said that he did not believe Myatt, thus calling Myatt a liar even though Myatt had given his word of honour several times, and at the very end of the interview - which was not on the recording made publicly available in 2021 - the antifascist lost his temper and shouted at Myatt in respect of being Anton Long: "Why don't you just admit it!" But even then Myatt remained calm.

Given the previous lies told and the allegations made about Myatt by the antifascist, given the repetition of such lies and allegations during the interview, and given that the antifascist in person had accused Myatt of being a liar, Myatt had no option but to challenge him to a duel with deadly weapons (pistols or swords) given Myatt's life-long belief in personal honour and the centuries-old European traditions surrounding it. One tradition of which was a person being fair and which tradition lived on in the nineteenth century English expression "it's not cricket" in relation to personal behaviour and in the now deprecated English expression "playing the White man" and the also now deprecated American "that's mighty White of you."

During the interview Myatt several times explained to the antifascist the consequences of continuing to make dishonourable accusations about him.

That the antifascist would later lie about where Myatt lived and mock Myatt's belief in honour and the European tradition itself was revealing, as was the fact that he never replied to Myatt's challenge to a duel nor apologized for telling lies or for propagating gutter-press smears about him.

It was therefore no surprise to those that still upheld the noble concept of honour that Myatt subsequently, and following the centuries-old European tradition surrounding the duel, publicly described the antifascist as a coward and regarded his own honour as having been vindicated.

Among the lies the antifascist told concerning his meeting with Myatt were: (i) that Myatt carried and showed him an SS-Ehrendolch, the dagger carried by SS members to defend their honour and that of their family; (ii) that Myatt at the time lived in Shropshire in a caravan with his friend Richard Moult; (iii) that he, the antifascist, had 'proved' by his repeated mention of post office boxes that Myatt was involved with the O9A and was Anton Long, and (iv) that Myatt during the interview had admitted being Anton Long.

As was his habit, the antifascist never presented then or at any time anything evidential, no documents acceptable in a Court of Law, for his claims, with his widely trumpeted 'proofs' - especially about post office boxes - residing solely in his mind.

Over a decade later, in 2021 when a recording of the first part of the interview was made public, the reaction of others was typical of their belief in the urban tales about Myatt, for among the comments were:

"Old interview with the illusive David Myatt, who's been a militant neo-Nazi, a militant jihadist, alleged leader
of the far-right occultist Order of Nine Angles, and several other fascinating & terrible things. In this he pretends (badly) not to be O9A."

"It's kind of hilarious that the O9A people who published this think that this somehow definitively proves that he's not Anton Long."

"Myatt lying and equivocating about his role in the Order of Nine Angles."

Not one of them mentioned Myatt giving his word of honour, or the importance attached to giving one’s word of honour in European and other traditions, or provided any evidential facts in support of their religious belief in the lies about him and about the O9A, lies which had by 2021 become part of not only a modern urban tale but the Establishment orthodoxy in regard to Myatt.

It is interesting and perhaps indicative that no one, including the antifascist interviewer himself, has ever mentioned that Myatt's account in that interview is supported by documentary evidence: as in correspondence in 1996 with Professor Kaplan, several years before Myatt's interview with the antifascist. 8

Conclusion

In his 2012 text titled A Matter Of Honour 12 Myatt responded to not only the allegations of him being ‘Anton Long’ and involved with the O9A but also to those who like Goodrick-Clarke and Senholt and the mainstream media repeat such allegations without providing any evidential facts.

Since Myatt's response eloquently summarizes the points made in this essay of mine I quote a substantial part of it:

"My own rather old-fashioned view is and was that a personal knowing of someone, extending over a period of many months if not a year or more, is the only honourable way to form a reasoned opinion about someone. For honour means the cultivation of traditional gentlemanly and ladylike virtues and one of which virtues is that we strive to treat other human beings in a fair way; ignoring what others have said or written about them; ignoring their past (real or alleged); and giving them the benefit of the doubt unless and until direct personal experience, direct knowledge of them, reveals them to be dishonourable […]

In respect of allegations about involvement with satanism and 'being Anton Long' - and in respect of those who manufacture and propagate them - my own experience, my pathei-mathos, manifest in my philosophy of Pathei-Mathos, leads me to two conclusions. My first conclusion is that the research done by some modern authors and even some academics - whose works are published by reputable publishers or quoted by others engaged in academic research - is inadequate and does not meet the taxing criteria of scholarship. Thus these works are unreliable; they have no gravitas, no worth - in terms of learning - for the sagacious.

My second conclusion is that most if not all modern Media that concern themselves with the deeds and lives of individuals – from un-scholarly books and essays, to newspapers, to television news programs and political documentaries, to magazines, to the World Wide Web – are by their very impersonal and mass-media nature unethical. Why? Because they are un-numinous, and encourage and often embody hubris, being as they are the realm of personal opinions, hasty judgement, and misapprehension, and the abode of those for whom 'a story' or some personal/political agenda/prejudice or 'their career' or some unethical un-numinous abstraction (such as 'the public interest') come before honour, empathy, and the reasoned judgement of a personal knowing that has extended over a lengthy period of causal Time and/or been based on an extended period of scholarly research.

A corollary is that those who use such Media, and/or unscholarly books/essays, as sources of allegedly reliable information, as a guide, as a or as the basis for their judgement about and knowledge of someone or some many, are being unfair and uncultured because lacking in the following necessary virtues: (1) a reasoned, balanced, and thus ethical, judgement; (2) the empathy of manifold direct personal contacts; and (3) a scholarly research and/or a personal knowing extending over many years. Virtues which are the genesis of a genuine understanding of, and thence an unbiased knowledge of, another human being; and virtues which rapid, impersonal, mass means of modern communication actively discourage and which virtues are seldom, it seems, cultivated and employed by those involved with and who use and who rely on such modern means for information.

Quite simply it is matter of honour. Of personal knowing. As I mentioned above, the traditional gentlemanly and ladylike virtues and their cultivation are no longer the standard which individuals are expected to aspire to and to uphold. Thus I do not expect the plethora of rumours and allegations about me to suddenly cease, although I admit I do and perhaps naively nurture a vague hope that what I have written here may cause a few individuals to reconsider the veracity of such rumours and allegations."

It is clear that The Urban Tale Of Myatt And Long, like most urban tales, is not based on evidential facts and is and has been believed because believers are or were susceptible to persuasion or are or were influenced by propaganda or because they do not or they did not employ the human faculties of reason, logic, and honour.

Kerri Scott
April 2022 ev
v. 1.05
In the matter of forensic linguistics - in this specific matter, of author profiling - the task would be formidable with the result or results open to question given the volume of material written by both Myatt and the pseudonymous Anton Long and given that the forensic profiler would have to subjectively select what texts from what year or years to compare.

Since 1984 with the publication of his monograph *Vindex - Destiny of the West* to 2022 with the publication of his *Numinosity, Denotata, Empathy, And The Hermetic Tradition*, Myatt has written thousands of pages of texts. The texts also vary in subject matter, from polemical propagandistic texts in support of National Socialism (1984-1998) to ideological tracts in support of his 'ethical National Socialism' (1996-1998) to items supporting a particular and radical interpretation Islam (2001-2008) to his post-2012 writings about his philosophy of pathei-mathos to his autobiographical effusions such as his 2014 collection of essays titled *One Vagabond In Exile From The Gods* and the letters included in parts two and three of his *Understanding and Rejecting Extremism*. There is also the matter of Myatt’s translations of and commentaries on tractates of the Corpus Hermeticum (2017) and his 2017 scholarly monographs *Classical Paganism And The Christian Ethos* and *Tu Es Diaboli Ianua*.

In the case of Anton Long there are also thousands of pages of texts, from some of the typescripts included in Naos (1989) to The Satanic Letters (1992) to *Enantiodromia - The Sinister Abyssal Nexion* (2012).

The issue is further complicated by writings published between 1992 and 2011 which do not bear the name ‘Anton Long’ and thus are anonymous but which anonymous texts many critics have assumed without any evidence were written by Anton Long. As described by Rachael Stirling in 2021,

“Crucial to understanding and appreciating O9A philosophy is the study of the writings of Anton Long, 1976-2012, for they are the primary sources, the only primary sources, of that philosophy. Which writings were published under that name or using the two pseudonyms which he himself has acknowledged, which are (i) "Stephen Brown", with reference to the two volumes of *The Satanic Letters*, 1992, and (ii) "Thorold West", with reference to the manuscript of *Naos: A Practical Guide To Modern Magick*, 1989. These primary sources include those two works, the four novels of the Deofel Quartet published between 1976 and 1992, The Last Writings of Anton Long published in 2012, and the classic texts *The Gentleman’s – and Noble Ladies – Brief Guide to The Dark Arts* (2008), *Concerning Culling as Art* (2011) and *The De-Evolutionary Nature of Might is Right* (2011).

Other sources - O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 - are therefore secondary and tertiary sources and represent the interpretations and opinions of others regarding Anton Long's philosophy and the Seven Fold Way that he devised.

For what has hitherto been rejected or ignored by O9A critics, by some O9A supporters, and by all O9A opponents, is the scholarly detail, the view, that not all O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 were written by Anton Long, whomsoever he was. Thus of all the texts in the three volumes of Hostia, published in 1992, only a few have the name Anton Long, or the initials AL, or the name Stephen Brown, attached to them, and it is an un-scholarly but common mistake to assume that uncredited texts - such as the one titled *Conquer, Destroy, Create* in volume II of Hostia - represent his, that is O9A, philosophy.” *The Boundaries Of O9A Philosophy*, https://archive.org/download/o9a-boundaries-philosophy/o9a-boundaries-philosophy-v5.pdf


(5) Following Myatt’s arrest in early 1998 by police officers from Special Branch based at Scotland Yard, the British police in conjunction with the Canadian police and the FBI spent three years trying to prove that Myatt wrote that document, having seized his computers and files, searched his home for over seven hours, and travelled to places such as Canada to interview witnesses. They failed to find any evidence and Myatt was released from his bail in the Summer of 2001.


(7) In his 1998 *A Reply to Allegations* - a statement ignored then and since by journalists, academics, and others - Myatt wrote:

“For over twenty years, journalists, those opposed to National-Socialism, and dishonourable, egotistical weak-willed rumour-mongers among the so-called racial-nationalist ‘Movement’, have been circulating rumours and making allegations about my personal involvement with Occultism and Satanism. This is despite the fact that I have denied and do deny ever having been a ‘Satanist’, and despite the fact that I have stated many times that I regard Satanism as decadent and morally wrong.
These rumours and allegations were started by, and are still circulated by, my enemies for one simple reason - to try and discredit me personally. For, if I can be discredited in such a way, people will not take seriously what I have written about National-Socialism and what I have done for this most noble of Causes.

When I write or say that National-Socialism and the Occult are incompatible, I mean it. When I condemn Satanism as un-Aryan, as morally wrong, I mean it."


{8} https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RZZeupoplu4


{10} Years later, in 2014, Myatt would write that,

"the concept, and the question, of honour is perhaps the most constant thing in my life, from teenage years in the Far East learning a Martial Art with its unwritten code of personal conduct, through my NS decades, to my Muslim years, to my 'numinous way' and thence to my philosophy of pathei-mathos." Some Questions For DWM, https://davidmyatt.wordpress.com/questions-for-dwm-2014/

{11} The adherence to honour, and to chivalry of which it was a part, was for hundreds of years a European tradition for those of innate noble character as evident in legends such as those recounted in Malory’s Le Morte d’Arthur and detailed in The Booke of Honor and Armes, published in London in 1590, with its statement that "honour is preferred before life" and its list of the type of lies that merited a challenge to a duel.

That many men in some European lands, such as Germany, upheld such a noble tradition until 1945 says much about their character, much about 19th and early 20th century Germany, and much about the post-1945 world.

{12} The text is included as an appendix in the third edition of his Extremism And Reformation, published in 2019, ISBN 9781691707423.
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Summary

Often misunderstood as an Occult, or Satanic, group or as a modern Western Left Hand Path, the “Order of Nine Angles” - often abbreviated O9A, ONA, and ω9α - is an esoteric philosophy with its own ethics, epistemology, and ontology. It postulates a way by means of which Lapis Philosophicus - knowledge and wisdom {1} - may be obtained.

O9A philosophy has its own technical vocabulary many of which terms or words are original, some of which derive from Hellenic hermeticism and the Western alchemical tradition, and some are which are defined in specific way to differentiate them from other non-esoteric usage. {2}

The purpose of this monograph is to outline the philosophy of the O9A and explain that an understanding of it requires a study of the esoteric writings of its founder and that, contrary to common misconceptions, its specific ethics and epistemology describe boundaries in regard to personal behaviour and which boundaries form the basis of a neglected aspect of O9A philosophy.

Definition

The appellation “Order of Nine Angles” describes the esoteric philosophy developed by the pseudonymous “Anton Long” between 1976 and 2012 and was described in texts authored by him published between those dates.

An esoteric philosophy is a philosophy that describes, or seeks to describe, the hidden or inner - the esoteric - nature of Being and of beings including we human beings. An axiom of O9A philosophy, in common with many esoteric philosophies, is that the inner nature of Being and of beings can be apprehended, or represented, by a particular symbolism or by various symbolisms and also by the relationships between symbols, for such esoteric philosophies are based on the Aristotelian principle that existence/reality is a reasoned order capable of being rationally understood, with many esoteric philosophies also positing - as the ancient Greeks did, as Hellenic hermeticism did, and as O9A philosophy does - that this reasoned order (κόσμος) has an ordered structure and that human beings, by virtue of possessing the faculty of reason, are - in their natural state of physis (φύσις) or fitrah - an eikon (εἰκὼν) of that ordered structure. {3}

The symbolism of O9A philosophy is - as described in the Poemander/Poemandres tractate of the Corpus Hermeticum {4} and in many Renaissance alchemical texts - the ancient one of seven spheres (ἑβδομάς) and a hermetic quest (ἄνοδος) by the individual from the first, lower, sphere to the seventh, higher, sphere.

   Thus does the mortal hasten through the harmonious structure, offering up, in the first realm, that vigour which grows and which fades, and - in the second one - those dishonourable machinations, no longer functioning. In the third, that eagerness which deceives, no longer functioning; in the fourth, the arrogance of command, no longer insatiable; in the fifth, profane insolence and reckless haste; in the sixth, the bad inclinations occasioned by riches, no longer functioning; and in the seventh realm, the lies that lie in wait. {5}
καὶ οὕτως ὁρμᾷ λοιπὸν ἄνω διὰ τῆς ἁρμονίας, καὶ τῇ πρώτῃ ζώνῃ δίδωσι τὴν αὐξητικὴν ἐνέργειαν καὶ τὴν 
μειωτικὴν, καὶ τῇ δευτέρᾳ τὴν μηχανὴν τῶν κακῶν, δόλου ἀνενέργητον, καὶ τῇ τρίτῃ τὴν ἐπιθυμητικὴν 
ἀπάτην ἀνενέργητον, καὶ τῇ τετάρτῃ τὴν ἀρχοντικὴν προφανίαν ἀπλεονέκτητον, καὶ τῇ πέμπτῃ τὸ θράσος 
τὸ ἀνόσιον καὶ τῆς τόλμης τὴν προπέτειαν, καὶ τῇ ἐκτή τάς ἀφορμάς γάλας κακῶν τοῦ πλούτου ἀνενεργήτους, 
καὶ τῇ ἐβδομή δίδωσι τὸ ἐνεδρεῦον ψεῦδος.

In O9A philosophy, this anados is the Seven Fold Way and in practical terms is associated with various, sometimes 
difficult, exoteric and esoteric tasks designed to facilitate a learning from personal experience: pathei-mathos. {6}

Exoterically, O9A philosophy could be said to be now expressed as a distinct culture - or perhaps more correctly, as a 
sub-culture - which individuals, and some personal partnerships and families, identify with or follow.

Ontology, Ethics, And Epistemology

i) Ontology

It is postulated that the Cosmos has both causal and acausal aspects, or "universes". The causal aspect of the Cosmos - 
the causal continuum or universe - is the physical, phenomenal universe of a causal metric currently designation by 
the term four-dimensional Space-Time. {7} This is the realm of causal energy and of experimental sciences such as 
Physics, Astronomy, Chemistry, and Biology, and the realm where we have our physical being. It is the universe of a 
linear Time and of the causality of past-present-future currently conventionally measured in terms of the passing of 
seconds, minutes, hours, days, and years.

The acausal aspect of the Cosmos - the acausal continuum or universe - is the realm of acausal energy and of an 
n-dimensional acausal continuum (where n is > 3 but ≤ ∞) of acausal Space and acausal Time. A living being such as 
ourselves is where acausal energy is presenced {8} in the causal, with our psyche an expression of that acausality 
with aspects of that acausality conceptualized by us as archetypes, where an archetype is defined as a particular 
causal presencing of a certain acausal energy and is thus akin to a type of acausal living being in the causal and thus 
"in the psyche": it is born, its lives, and then it "dies" or changes, that is, its acausal energy ceases to be manifest in 
the causal or assumes another form.

ii) Epistemology

There are two types of knowledge depending on the object or objects of perception. Causal knowledge is of 
Phainómenon, of causality, and is the knowledge derived from the physical senses, from the experimental sciences, 
through reason, scholarly learning, learning from practical experience (pathei-mathos, πάθει-μάθος) and such things as 
conventional philosophy.

Acausal knowing is of acausality and its interaction with the causal and thus of such occurrences or manifestations as 
archetypes, mythos, mythoi, foreseeing, intuition, synchronicity, empathy, the supernatural, and pathei-mathos.

In regard to the O9A, acausal knowing is also considered to be attainable through a hermetic quest, an ἄνοδος, such as 
the Seven Fold Way which includes the practical techniques of Insight Roles, Grade Rituals such as Internal Adept, 
Esoteric Chant and The Star Game.

iii) Ethics

The fundamental principles are πάθει-μάθος, καλὸς-κἀγαθός, and kindred honour. That is, what is ethical - "good" - can 
be personally discovered through a combination of the following: (i) πάθει-μάθος, (ii) observed or deduced from καλὸς- 
κἀγαθός - the beautiful, the honourable, arête - and (iii) presenced through a code of kindred honour.

A basic axiom of O9A philosophy is that by adhering to these principles while undertaking a personal endeavour or 
quest such as the O9A Seven Fold Way the individual can discover Lapis Philosophicus.

Boundaries

Crucial to understanding and appreciating O9A philosophy is the study of the writings of Anton Long, 1976-2012, for 
they are the primary sources, the only primary sources, of that philosophy. Which writings were published under that 
name or using the two pseudonyms which he himself has acknowledged, which are (i) "Stephen Brown", with reference to 
the two volumes of The Satanic Letters, 1992, and (ii) "Thorold West", with reference to the manuscript of Naos: A 
Practical Guide To Modern Magick", 1989. {9} These primary sources include those two works, the four novels of the 
texts The Gentleman's - and Noble Ladies – Brief Guide to The Dark Arts (2008), Concerning Culling as Art (2011) and 
The De-Evolutionary Nature of Might is Right (2011).

Other sources - O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 {10} - are therefore secondary and tertiary sources and 
represent the interpretations and opinions of others regarding Anton Long's philosophy and the Seven Fold Way that he 
devised.

For what has hitherto been rejected or ignored by O9A critics, by some O9A supporters, and by all O9A opponents, is
the scholarly detail, the view, that not all O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 were written by Anton Long, whomsoever he was. Thus of all the texts in the three volumes of Hostia, published in 1992, only a few have the name Anton Long, or the initials AL, or the name Stephen Brown, attached to them, and it is an un-scholarly but common mistake to assume that uncredited texts - such as the one titled *Conquer, Destroy, Create* in volume II of Hostia - represent his, that is O9A, philosophy.

i) Honour And Ethos

In a 2021 interview (11) Anton Long stated that the O9A "ethos is succinctly expressed by three terms - πάθει-μάθος, καλὸς-κἀγαθός, and kindred-honour" and that

"καλὸς-κἀγαθός enshrines noble personal behaviour and thus personal honour which thus precludes advocating and committing [...] dishonourable deeds. That our ethos enshrines noble, cultured, personal behaviour should have been obvious to those incipiently of our kind given not only the clues scattered over decades among my writings and those of some others, but also by texts such as my *The Gentleman’s – and Noble Ladies – Brief Guide to The Dark Arts*, my *Concerning Culling as Art* and my *The De-Evolutionary Nature of Might is Right.*" {12}

He went on to quote from his 2008 text *The Gentleman’s – and Noble Ladies – Brief Guide to The Dark Arts,*

"Outwardly, in terms of persona and character, the true Dark Arts are concerned with style; with understated elegance; with natural charisma; with personal charm; and with manners. That is, with a certain personal character and a certain ethos. The character is that of the natural gentleman, of the natural noble lady; the ethos is that of good taste, of refinement, of a civilized attitude."

These notions of the ethics of O9A philosophy expressing nobility, honour, culture, and a civilized attitude, run through the writings of Anton Long. For example, in a letter to Michael Aquino of the temple of Set, dated 7th September 1990 ev, he wrote:

"one essential personal quality is honour born from the quest for self-excellence and self-understanding. One either has this personal quality (or the potential to possess it) or one does not [...] This quality is expressed by the way of living an individual follows and as far as the ONA is concerned this quality is one of those that marks the genuine Satanic elite from the imitation." {13}

Somewhat more metaphorically he expressed the view, in a 2011 interview, that,

"The ONA is now a nine-fold being, with there being three aspects to its three-fold being (that is, three 'angles' to each of the three aspects). In the exoteric sense, these three "angles" of the three aspects (or three causal presencings) of its three-fold living nature - of its sinister character - can be re-presented by: (i) the ONA itself (what it is causally perceived to be and what it now causally inspires); by (ii) by the ethos of personal honour (the Law of the New Aeon) and that of cultural and individual excellence and evolutionary elitism of which one outer form has recently been termed [...] as 'Reichsfolk culture' and which in general is the exoteric Way of honour and individual excellence which lies behind Reichsfolk and kindred organizations; and by (iii) the emerging Sinister Numinous Way, where the faculty of empathy and the ethic of personal honour are combined with a sinister understanding in an entirely new Way guaranteed to befuddle and confuse the mundanes even more." (11)

In his 123 yfayen [2012] text *Some Notes Concerning The Aeonic Perspective,* he wrote,

"For us, culture implies five important qualities, and these qualities are (1) empathy, (2) the instinct for disliking rottenness, (3) the faculty of reason, (4) pathëi-mathëos; and (5) a living aural tradition. It is these qualities that not only distinguish us from other animals - and from Homo Hubris - here on terra firma but which and importantly enable us to consciously change, to develope, ourselves and so participate in our own evolution as beings.

For us, the cultivation and development of empathy is a Dark Art, part of the training of the Initiate. This particular Dark Art is a skill that rites such as that of Internal Adept develop." {14}

In the same year he controversially wrote,

"Our Occult kind, our Adepts, have: (1) a type of pagan knowing and understanding of the natural world; (2) a certain sensitivity and empathy; appreciate such muliebral qualities in others, and thus appreciate, understand, women and their potential; and (3) a certain culture, where by culture here is meant the arts of life made manifest by living by our code of kindred-honour, having a living (and thus numinous) tradition, having self-control, self-honesty, having a certain learned knowledge of the Arts, literature, and music of their own ancestral culture, and having the all-important knowing of themselves as but one nexion between a causal past, their present short-lived life, and the wyrdful futures that will exist after their causal death.

Therefore one exoteric, and old, archetype which still usefully expresses something of the sinisterly-numinous for those of the male human gender is the chivalrous warrior of stories such as Le Morte d'Arthur but where the supra-personal 'numinous' element is not the religion of the Nazarene but rather our code of kindred-honour or something similar.

Or, if one desires a more modern, and somewhat more accurate (but still incomplete, imperfect) archetype,
In regard to O9A archetypes he provided somewhat more detail in a 122 yfayen [2011] article with the emphasis, in the article, on women:

"We're talking about nurturing, developing, entirely new types of human beings, far removed from Western stereotypes. Types of human beings for whom the societies of modern nation-States are not a natural or even comfortable home but which may provide them with opportunities, resources, and so on. Especially since honour and the developed senses and skills that esoteric-empathy and acausal-thinking provide manifest their different, unique, way of life, and thus how they interact with and react to other human beings [...]"

One good illustration would be women of our kind, living by honour - those who were ready, willing, and able to defend themselves and rely on themselves and thus who possessed attitude, and skill enough, and/or carried weapons enabling them to, defeat a strong man or men intent on attacking or subduing them [...] A new female archetype if you will.

The above quotations from the writings of Anton Long, and many more could be provided, reveal two neglected - and surprising - truths about O9A philosophy.

ii) Personal Behaviour And Culture

The first neglected truth is that O9A philosophy sets certain limits, boundaries, to personal behaviour. Limits determined by the possession of the individual quality of personal honour, and a quality which distinguishes the noble individual from the ignoble. Those who possess this quality, instinctively, or discovered by pathei-mathos, or developed by methods such as the O9A Seven Fold Way and its "dark art" of cultivating empathy, are a breed apart whose personal character prevents them advocating and committing dishonourable deeds, as dishonourable deeds have been instinctively understood for centuries by such individuals as the chivalrous warriors of Le Morte d'Arthur, the chivalrous warriors of the Waffen-SS such as Per Sorensen, Otto Skorzeny and Leon Degrelle, the chivalrous warriors of the Wehrmacht and the Luftwaffe such as Otto Ernst Remer and Hans Ulrich Rudel, and the mystic Rouwyltha of Britannic pagan legend part of whose wyrd was to nurture and protect their rural folk community by both sorcery and a means described in Anton Long's Concerning Culling as Art.

The second neglected truth is that O9A philosophy values, expounds, and seeks to nurture cultured individuals, who in the words of Anton Long possess "self-control, self-honesty" and have "a certain learned knowledge of the Arts, literature, and music of their own ancestral culture."

The writings of Anton Long make it clear that the type of Satanism he developed and propagated from the 1980s on was different from the type promulgated by individuals such as Howard Stanton Levey - alias Anton LaVey - and Michael Aquino of the Temple of Set (ToS). O9A philosophy emphasized self-control, culture, a personal learning by means both practical (exoteric, exoteric) and esoteric (occult) and an individual quest (anados) of a decade and more.

In regard to such a quest, the letter he wrote to a Miss Stockton, dated 19th June 1991 eh, states:

"No one will or can award you Adeptship - or any magical Grade. You will have to achieve them. It usually takes five or more years to reach the stage of Adeptship - few get that far. Most who begin, give up, because the quest is just too hard or they are too soft. It will probably take fifteen or twenty years to reach the stage
In terms of O9A philosophy, Anton Long described the numinous in the 2011 text word implied a deity, a divinity, a reverence for what is considered divine. indicating the presence of a divinity; divine, spiritual,” derived as it is from the classical Latin ‘numen’, which Latin Stephen Hawker published in 1864 where it is spelt numynous, is “of or relating to a god or a divinity, revealing or The meaning of the term numinous in that 1647 book, and in later books such as The Quest of the Sangraal by Robert
Stephen Hawker published in 1864 where it is spelt numymous, is “of or relating to a god or a divinity, revealing or indicating the presence of a divinity; divine, spiritual,” derived as it is from the classical Latin ‘numen’, which Latin word implied a deity, a divinity, a reverence for what is considered divine.

In terms of O9A philosophy, Anton Long described the numinous in the 2011 text Enantiordromia - The Sinister Abyssal
Nexion in reference to the Seven Fold Way,

"the Grade Ritual that marks the emergence of a new Master/LadyMaster [...] is an enantiodromia - that is, a type of confrontational contest whereby what has been separated becomes bound together again (united) enabling the genesis of a new type of being [...] What has been separated - into apparent opposites - is the sinister and the numinous." (20)

This understanding of the separation, by denotata into apparent opposites, of the sinister and the numinous, and the Seven Fold Way as a means for individuals to both experience and understand these opposites and then meld them together to transcend them to thus experience, to know, The Unity beyond, is the foundation, the raison d'être, of O9A philosophy and of how that philosophy may be presented in the causal continuum.

As Anton Long expressed it in 2012,

"The term sinister-numinous is employed by us – part of our esoteric ONA-speak – to describe the living unity beyond the abstract, the lifeless, division and dialectic of contrasting/abstractive/ideated opposites. A division most obvious in the false dichotomy of 'good' and 'evil', and a division not so obvious in denotatum and thus in both Magian religions with their god, prophets, scriptures, and in occultisms and religions devolving around named deities [...] A knowing and then a living of the sinisterly-numinous is an essential part of the development, the breeding, the emergence, of our new kind." (15)

In an attempt to describe how this division and The Unity beyond may be rationally apprehended, Anton Long referenced the O9A Star Game as described in his MS Naos, and used the term adunations (from the Latin adunatus: ad+unare, to unite, make whole) by which word he meant some-thing which when placed in its correct relation to other adunations reveals the unity, the whole, of which it and they are a part:

"An esoteric language is basically a particular means of communication dependant on certain esoteric (Occult) skills/abilities, and which language is often non-verbal in nature and often employs symbols (as in The Star Game) or affective aliquantals of acausal energy (as in Esoteric-empathy).

As with ordinary language, such languages involve a denoting and an accepted, a shared, understanding of what such specific denoting refers to. In addition, an esoteric language can, if correctly employed, function simultaneously on two levels - the affective and the effective; that is, the acausal and the causal. The effective level is that of communication between sentient human beings where meaning is exchanged; while the affective level is that of transforming/changing/developing (mostly of consciousness, of being) in an esoteric (acausal) way the individual or individuals employing the language.

The Star Game (TSG) - by which is meant the advanced form of 'the game' - is, currently, the language, the only language, of acausal-thinking; of thinking not by words but by means of adunations, their collocations, and their interaction and changes in four-dimensions, and which interactions of necessity include the 'player' or 'players'. Thus, the 'sentences' of this particular esoteric language - this langage - are not static but rather the movement and the changes [the fluxion] of adunations, with the manner, the arrangement/pattern, of the movement and the changes - and the temporary meanings assigned to the adunations - intimating the 'meaning/content of a particular sentence in particular moments of causal Time.

Using the language of TSG is, like Esoteric Chant, not only sorcery - internal, external, Aeonic - but also and perhaps more importantly a means to acausal-knowing: to discovering the essences that have become hidden by morality, by abstractions and by the illusion of opposites, and which opposites include the dichotomy of sinister and numinous (light and dark; good and bad) and the illusion of our own separation from the acausal. That is, the language of TSG and other esoteric languages are means to developing our latent faculties, a means to develop new faculties, and a thus a means to aid our evolution as a sentient being and as a species." (21){22}

iv) Personal Honour And Kindred Honour

Is there a contradiction between the personal honour embedded in O9A philosophy and kindred honour which is also embedded in O9A philosophy? Not when considered Aeonically and in terms of the sinister-numinous.

In such terms, personal honour is a presencing of the numinous aspect, and kindred honour that of the sinister aspect, (i) with personal honour the Vindexian code (of future warrior clans and families) and of individuals inspired or influenced by O9A hermetism and mysticism, be such individuals and their families National-Socialist or otherwise, and (ii) with kindred honour, to use a term employed by Anton Long in his 2021 interview, {11} the code of more urban "gang-type" communities and families.

The Aeonic aspect is essentially two-fold. Firstly, the choice afforded individuals about how to, should they be so motivated, confront those forces and that ethos which O9A philosophy describes as de-evolutionary and Magian {24} because purely causal, a causality often manifest in egoism and materialism and thus devoid of the acausality of the sinister-numinous, manifest as the sinister-numinous can and have often been in our pagan pasts in myths, legends, sagas, archetypes, and mysteriums with a particular μυστήριον often associated with a particular pagan culture or folk.

Secondly, to presence new ways of living to, over decades and possibly centuries, undermine those forces and that Magian ethos both directly through practical, overt, opposition, and indirectly through individuals and families living in a manner consistent with their understanding of what the ontology, epistemology, and ethics of O9A philosophy - and
the natural evolutionary development of that philosophy by individuals and families - means and implies and might mean and imply, for instance in terms of life-style and through the discovery by some of Lapis Philosophicus and thus an apprehension of The Unity beyond the opposites of Sinister and Numinous.

**Interpretations And The Authority Of Individual Judgment**

The esoteric philosophy of the Order of Nine Angles is delineated by and thus expressed in the writings of Anton Long. All other writings, be they by adherents of or students of, or by those seeking to explain or who are influenced by or who seek to evolve that philosophy, are interpretations or misinterpretations of that philosophy and represent the personal opinions or the conclusions of their authors.

Such personal interpretations or misinterpretations are not therefore and should not be described as "the philosophy or the esoteric philosophy of the O9A" or as representing "the O9A" or the opinions or weltanschauung of Anton Long.

Instead, such interpretations or evolutions could be referred to as Longusian - from the Latin for "long" - and thus as Longusian philosophy or Longusian esotericism or Longusian hermeticism, or as Longusian satanism, and so on. Alternatively, they could be named after the person who presents an interpretation or an evolution of the esoteric philosophy of the Order of Nine Angles.

For such individual interpretations and evolutions of Anton Long's O9A philosophy - and of O9A praxises such as the Seven Fold Way - are an integral part of his philosophy, and described by him as the axiom of The Authority Of Individual Judgment.

As he wrote in letter to Michael Aquino dated 20th October 1990 ev, the O9A way is:

"guiding a few individuals to self-awareness, to Adeptship and beyond, via various practical and magickal techniques. The emphasis is on guide, on self-development, on self-discovery. There is no religious attitude, no acceptance of someone else's authority and no mystique: the methods, as divulged in the recently published book 'Naos', are essentially practical [...]"

I claim no authority, and my creations, profuse as they are, will in the end be accepted or rejected on the basis of whether they work (Satan forbid they should ever become dogma or a matter of faith). I also expect to see them become transformed, by their own metamorphosis and that due to other individuals: changed, extended and probably ultimately transcended, may be even forgotten. They - like the individual I am at the moment - are only a stage, toward something else." {13}

In a letter to a Miss Stockton, dated 19th June 1991 eh, he wrote:

"I have no 'authority' in the real sense - I simply offer advice and guidance based on my own experiences. I am still learning. What I teach is not 'sacred' - hopefully, it will be surpassed, refined, changed, when others discover and experience and attain.

I inherited some esoteric knowledge, and have added to it and that really is what esoteric knowledge is: a slowly accumulating body of knowledge which re-presents both what Is and what is Not. Gradually, this representation is refined - gets closer to being a genuine representation.

Thus, when I speak or write I speak or write from my own experience - I do not claim some supra-personal authority, to be in contact with some entity (like Satan) who has chosen me, or empowered me or whatever. I am a unique individual, and what I say or write should be judged by its merits - by whether it works, is effective, is a genuine representation of what it is supposed to be.

My creations do not pretend to be other than what they are - my creations. They are not the 'sacred words of the Devil' or whatever. I may sometimes have been inspired by the Prince of Darkness, but the works are mine - and should be judged as mortal rather than the product of some entity. I leave it to others to claim that their works are imbued with a sacred quality (or Infernal power) and so they deserve 'obedience' and all that religious stuff.

The same applies to the traditions I inherited. They are simply traditions, and like most traditions are a mixture. Some contain a little Wisdom; there are bits of insight; bits of real esoteric knowledge. And an awful lot of mystification as well as some fables. Each individual must assess them for themselves - if they are useful, fine. If not - fine." {13}

In regard to the authority of individual judgment, in his polemic titled Beyond The Rhetoric - The Famylie, The Kollective, published in 2011, he wrote that O9A culture,

"may be said to be evident in the combination of all of the following:

(1) In the authority (both numinous and sinister) of individual judgement and individual responsibility.

(2) In the necessity of practical deeds, sinister-numinous - and thence the necessity of pathei-mathos – to
breed such experience and learning as are the genesis of such necessary individual judgement.

(3) In the collective, non-hierarchical, nature of our organization and thus in the principle of mutual, agreed, co-operation, and one of which types of such co-operation is evident in our clans and tribes.

(4) In the understanding of the illusive/restrictive/tyrannical nature of all causal abstractions and thus the necessity of liberating ourselves from all abstractions, and liberating ourselves from those forms – such as nation-States – which have been manufactured and which are maintained by the hubriati and their kind, and by mundanes, in order to try and manifest (to try and make real) some such abstractions.

(5) In the practice – the amoral praxis – of using what works, is affective and effective, and discarding/revising what has been tried and shown not to work.

(6) In the knowledge of the mundanity of mundanes and the knowing that we, as individuals and collectively, possess wyrdful potential and certain esoteric abilities, with one such one esoteric ability being dark-empathy.

(7) In the desire to develop/transform/change one's self and so evolve ourselves as members of the human species.

(8) In the necessary of accepting and living by the code of kindred-honour, and which code is individual judgement, individual responsibility, and liberation from causal abstractions, made manifest and practical.

(9) In the understanding that our code of kindred-honour applies equally to all of our kind, irrespective of their gender, ethnicity, perceived social/educational status, sexual preference (and so on) with the practical result that we judge people solely on the basis of a personal knowing of them, on their deeds (not words), and on whether or not and how well they uphold and live by our code of kindred honour. “ {23}

The mention of "the necessity of liberating ourselves from all abstractions" returns us to the Magian ethos and the sinister-numinous, since an important manifestation of that ethos are causal abstractions, of which Anton Long wrote:

"Understood exoterically, an abstraction is the manufacture, and use of, some idea, ideal, 'image' or category, and thus some generalization, and/or some assignment of an individual or individuals to some group or category. The positing of some 'perfect' or 'ideal' form, category, or thing, is part of abstraction.

Esoterically, an abstraction has only a causal being and therefore is not a nexion; not a presencings of the sinister-numinous - the unity, the connexions - that sentient life re-presents. Exoterically, an abstraction is neither living nor archetypal; not imbued [with and] does not and cannot presence the acausal/the sinister-numinous." {24}

Such a liberation is both exoteric and esoteric:

"While one of our exoteric aims is the collective liberation that results from the destruction/downfall of the systems of the Old Aeon (such as nation-States) and their replacement by our sinister-numinous emanations, this liberation will occur slowly (as measured by durations of causal Time) and naturally as a result of the expansion of our collective, the emergence of new clans and tribes, and thus because of the increasing number of individuals of our kind pursuing esoteric aims consistent with our five core principles.

Again, there is a distinction between (a) exoteric praxis, exoteric rhetoric, amoral/diabolical incitement to disaffection, and (b) esoteric individual, and kollective, development and change. A distinction between outer causal forms and esoteric essence manifest as sinister-numinous emanations, and between causal effects and acausal (affective) change. But I guess this is just an understanding, an insight, too far for some self-described Occultists.

On the personal level, I quite naturally over the past four decades have indulged in some exoteric rhetoric as well as in some diabolical incitement, to disaffection, or whatever. Those who can distinguish between exoteric and esoteric - between causal forms and sinister-numinous emanations, can; while those who lack the faculties esoteric or otherwise to so distinguish, are the kind of people who get trapped in our Labyrinths Mythologicus [...]

By sinister-numinous is meant the perspective, the insight, the understanding that - traditionally and in terms of the Seven Fold Way - a Master or LadyMaster has acquired as a result of their decades-long Occult quest, of their passing through The Abyss and thus of having experienced and transmuted both numinous and sinister. Or, expressed in another non-esoteric way, it is the perspective that someone may acquire from pathei mathos.

This understanding is the prehension of personal wisdom, and personal wisdom itself is sinisterly-numinous; that is, a knowing and an experiencing of the unity (of sinister and numinous; light and dark) beyond the appearance of outward conflicting opposites.

A sinister-numinous emanation is a presencing, a manifestation in the causal, of this: in and by means of a living human being or some collocation of human beings. Thus, the ONA as a collective may be said to a
sinister-numinous emanation, as are those individuals who are part of this kollective and who presence something of the acausal by their life, their living, their deeds." \(^{(25)}\)

Furthermore:

"Our Order, our O9A, remains - of necessity, intentionally - small in numbers; discerning, and, through Dark Arts such as pathëi-mathos, for and the genesis of the discerning minority among those beings termed human. Yet this very aristocratic intentionality, imbued as it is with our esoteric ethos and thus with the sinisterly-numinous, with archetypal mythos, is - over aeonic durations of causal Time - both affective and effective in provoking, being the genesis of, changes within a larger number of human beings." \(^{(26)}\)

Conclusion

A study of the writings of Anton Long reveals the sinister-numinous nature of O9A philosophy, and that embedded within it is the principle of personal honour which sets certain limits on personal behaviour, and which manifests both exoteric and esoteric aspects of a New Aeon.

In esoteric terms the Order of Nine Angles - the philosophy of Anton Long - is a μυστήριον (mysterium) appropriate to our era, where a New Aeon is prescient as the Old Aeon, with its patriarchy, its Magian ethos and its ever increasing and dehumanizing causal abstractions, is waning even though as esoteric insight reveals all waning Aeons, and the "empire" or societies which manifest that old aeonic ethos in practical ways, tend to become more restrictive, more tyrannical, and beset with more and more conflicts both internal and external and both armed and of civil disobedience.

The O9A sinister-numinous mysterium presented by and through Anton Long is slowly, over decades, evolving as it must into other mysteriums:

"our mysteriums take us beyond both Old Aeon sorcery and Old Aeon language, and two aspects which they all share are: (1) that they all involve the presence of and an interaction with a living human being or beings (and are thus an alchemical symbiosis), and (2) that they are not overtly Occult or overtly associated with some existing or past -ism or -ology because such associations imply a certain duality and a bland causality, which means they cannot be described by any single old-style term or word, such as Satanism, or even the LHP. For they are what they are - a living wordless presencing, and are to be experienced, be part of our living, rather than blandly described in limited causal Old Aeon words." \(^{(27)}\)\(^{(28)}\)

---

Footnotes

\(^{(1)}\) According to the esoteric tradition of the O9A, the term Lapis Philosophicus [post-classical Latin, c. 13th century; qv. Byzantine Greek: λίθος τῶν σοφῶν] pre-dates the term lapis philosophorum and was used by early writers on alchemy such as Raymond Lully, and by the Elizabethan Oxford classical scholar John Case in a book entitled *Lapis Philosophicus, Sive Commentarius In Octo Libros Physicorum Aristotelis*, published in 1600 CE. The term was also used by Isaac Newton in a handwritten manuscript entitled *Lapis Philosophicus cum suis rotis elementaribus* [MS 416, in Babson College's Grace K. Babson Collection of the Works of Sir Isaac Newton, currently housed in the Huntington Library, San Marino, California].

Lapis Philosophicus means the "jewel of the alchemist", since the term Philosophicus means an alchemist not, as is commonly said, a philosopher, just as lapis (qv. λίθος τῶν σοφῶν) when used in Latin alchemical texts means "jewel" and not "stone".

For O9A aural tradition relates that λίθος as a jewel, or precious stone, was attested by Herodotus, who in *The Histories*, Book II, 44, wrote, in reference to "the sacred Temple of Heracles", ἣ δὲ σμαράγδου λίθου λάμποντος τὰς νύκτας μέγαθος.

It was possibly used in the same way by Aristotle who wrote, in reference to the Nine Archons, ἀναγράφαστε δὲ τοὺς νόμους εἰς τοὺς κύριβεις ἔστησαν ἐν τῇ στοᾷ τῇ βασιλείᾳ καὶ ὤμοσαν χρῆσθαι πάντες, οἵ δὲ ἐννέα ἄρχοντες ὁμοῦ δέουσιν πρὸς τῷ λίθῳ κατεφάτιζον ἀναθήσειν ἄνδραν χρυσόν, ἐὰν τινα παραβώσῃ τῶν νόμων: θέθην ἐτι καὶ νὸν ὀντὸς ὁμόνους. *Athenian Constitution*, 1.7.1
Also, as noted in *English–Greek Dictionary: A Vocabulary of the Attic Language* by S. C. Woodhouse, published by Routledge & Kegan Paul in 1910,


Finding Lapis Philosophicus is the aim of hermetic, Occult, traditions such as the O9A Seven Fold Way.


(4) Qv. D. Myatt, op.cit.

(5) Poemandres, v. 25, translated by D. Myatt. op.cit. It should be noted that Myatt reads (with several of the MSS) ὑπερηφανίαν not προφανίαν.


(7) The term dimension refers to an aspect, or component, or quality, or arrangement, or an attribute of, a theorized/mathematical form (or space), and/or of an object/entity posited or observed. Hence the term includes but is not limited to something measurable by physical means.

(8) Presenced is an Occult term used by the O9A to signify something, usually of acausal nature, manifest in the causal. The term derives from medieval and renaissance MSS and books dealing with alchemy and demonology, such as the 1641 work by the classical Greek scholar Joseph Mede entitled *The Apostasy of The Latter Times. Or, The Gentiles Theology of Dæmons*, where the phrase "the approaching or presencing of Dæmons" occurs.

(9) As several followers of O9A philosophy have pointed out in various texts the spelling ‘magick’ dates from the European Renaissance and was used in books such as collection edited by Elias Ashmole titled *Theatrum Chemicum Britannicum* published in 1652.


(10) The year 1990 was when Anton Long’s young protege, using the pseudonym "Christos Beest", began writing material on behalf of the O9A following his decision to begin his own quest along the Seven Fold Way. Over the following years his material included the two volumes titled *Black Book of Satan II* and *Black Book of Satan III* which, along with Anton Long’s original 1983 Black Book of Satan, were published in 1992 under the title *Codex Saerus* (BNB GB9475561). This was followed in the same year by *Hostia* (BNB GB9475565) and *Hysteron Proteron* (BNB GB9250357). He would go on to publish *Dyssolving - A Diary of An Internal Adept*, a record of his Internal Adept rite which is available at https://archive.org/download/diary-internal-adept-v1/diary-internal-adept-v1.pdf

The references to BNB are to the British National Bibliography which is a published catalogue of items deposited in the British Library, now available as a digital resource.


In respect of the term καλὸς-κἀγαθός expressing noble personal behaviour and honour he is referring to Myatt’s translation of and commentary on Tractate VI of the Corpus Hermetic in *Corpus Hermeticum: Eight Tractates*, 2017, ISBN 978-1976452369

I have corrected a few typos in the published facsimiles.


{15} The Adeptus Way and The Sinisterly-Numinous, included in The Order of Nine Angles: Five-Dimensional Dark Sorcery, op.cit., pp.13-15


{19} Alchemical Seasons and The Fluxions of Time, included in The Definitive Guide To The Order of Nine Angles, op.cit., pp.218-225


{21} Some Notes Concerning Language, Abstractions, and Nexions, included in The Order of Nine Angles: Five-Dimensional Dark Sorcery, op.cit., pp.56-60

{22} In footnotes to the text, Anton Long writes:

(i) That "understood exoterically, an abstraction is the manufacture, and use of, some idea, ideal, "image" or category, and thus some generalization, and/or some assignment of an individual or individuals to some group or category. The positing of some "perfect" or "ideal" form, category, or thing, is part of abstraction. Esoterically, an abstraction has only a causal being and therefore is not a nexion; not a presencings of the sinister-numinous - the unity, the connexions - that sentient life re-presents. Exoterically, an abstraction is neither living nor archetypal; not imbued [with and] does not and cannot presence the acausal/the sinister-numinous."

(ii) That the term "aliquantals - often abbreviated to aliquants - implies a particular amount of some-thing. The word came into English usage in 1695 ce in a book on Euclid's geometry by William Alingham."

(iii) That "in the interests of clarity, we might - by employing the older Anglo-Norman spelling - term an esoteric language a langage."

A typical abstraction is what is now denoted by the term "democracy" which like all abstractions implies a dialectical opposite or opposites, in this case denoted by terms such as "un-democratic" and "tyranny" and "authoritarian". Such a dialectic often implies conflict between opposing forces. Modern abstractions include pejorative categories such as "anti-semitic" and "racist" which are projected onto or assigned to individuals and groups, often resulting in conflict and hatred such as between "anti-racists" and those alleged to be "racist".

{23} Included in The Order of Nine Angles: Five-Dimensional Dark Sorcery, op.cit., pp.202-206

In regard to point (5) - "the practice - the amoral praxis - of using what works, is affective and effective, and discarding/revising what has been tried and shown not to work" - Anton Long soon dropped then "trendy" spellings such as kulture, kollective, and Famylie, used in that Beyond The Rhetoric - The Famylie, The Kollective polemic and in some other 2010-2012 polemics of his.

{23} Magian is defined, in the Glossary Of Order of Nine Angles Terms, v. 5.04, (op.cit) as referring "to the hybrid ethos of the ancient Hebrews (as manifest for instance in the Old Testament) and of Western hubriati, and also refers to those individuals who are Magian by either breeding or nature. The essence of what we term the Magian ethos is inherent in Judaism, in Nasrany, and in Islam. To be pedantic, we use the term Magian in preference to the more commonly used term Semitic to describe the ethos underlying these three major, and conventional, religions, since the term Semitic is, in our view, not strictly philologically correct to describe such religions."

{24} Footnote to Some Notes Concerning Language, Abstractions, and Nexions, included in The Order of Nine Angles: Five-Dimensional Dark Sorcery, op.cit., pp.56-60


Apropos the mention of Alchemy:

"According to aural tradition, esoteric alchemy - the secret alchemy - is a symbiotic process that occurs between the alchemist and certain living 'things'/elements, the aim of which symbiotic process is to acquire or to produce Lapis Philosophicus, and which 'jewel of the alchemist' is reputed to possess both the gift of wisdom and the secret of a personal immortality.

Alchemy, correctly understood and appreciated, is not - as the mis-informed have come to believe or been led to believe - concerned with the changing, the transformation of inert, lifeless, substances (chemical or otherwise) but with the transformation of the alchemist by a particular type of interaction with living 'things', human, of Nature, and of the Cosmos, and of living 'things' existing both in the causal and the acausal realms. (Hence the old association between alchemy and astronomy.) This interaction, by its nature - its physis - is or becomes a symbiotic one, with the alchemist, and the substances/things used, being thus changed by such a symbiosis.

That is, it is concerned with what we describe as 'the sinisterly-numinous'; with accessing and using/changing the acausal energies of living beings, and which acausal energies of necessity include the psyche of the alchemist. Hence, esoteric alchemy is a particular type of 'internal change' within and of the individual as well as a practical esoteric Art involving the manufacture/use of particular types of esoteric - living - substances/beings/things." Anton Long, O9A - On Being Unpopular, 2012. Included in The Order of Nine Angles: Five-Dimensional Dark Sorcery, op.cit., pp.8-10
Appendix II

The Code of Personal Honour

The word of a man or woman of honour is their bond - for when a man or woman of honour gives their word ("On my word of honour...") they mean it, since to break one's word is a dishonourable act. An oath of loyalty or allegiance to someone, once sworn by a man or woman of honour ("I swear by my honour that I shall...") can only be ended either: (i) by the man or woman of honour formally asking the person to whom the oath was sworn to release them from that oath, and that person agreeing so to release them; or (ii) by the death of the person to whom the oath was sworn. Anything else is dishonourable.

A man or woman of honour is prepared to do their honourable duty by challenging to a duel anyone who impugns their honour or who makes dishonourable accusations against them. Anyone so challenged to a duel who, refusing to publicly and unreservedly apologize, refuses also to accept such a challenge to a duel for whatever reason, is acting dishonourably, and it is right to call such a person a coward and to dismiss as untruthful any accusations such a coward has made. Honour is only satisfied - for the person so accused - if they challenge their accuser to a duel and fight it; the honour of the person who so makes such accusations or who so impugns another person's honour, is only satisfied if they either unreservedly apologize or accept such a challenge and fights such a duel according to the etiquette of duelling. A man or woman of honour may also challenge to a duel and fight in such a duel, a person who has acted dishonourably toward someone whom the man or woman of honour has sworn loyalty or allegiance to or whom they honourably champion.

A man or woman of honour always does the duty they have sworn to do, however inconvenient it may be and however dangerous, because it is honourable to do one's duty and dishonourable not to do one's duty. A man or woman of honour is prepared to die - if necessary by their own hand - rather than suffer the indignity of having to do anything dishonourable. A man or woman of honour can only surrender to or admit to defeat by someone who is as dignified and as honourable as they themselves are - that is, they can only entrust themselves under such circumstances to another man or woman of honour who swears to treat their defeated enemy with dignity and honour. A man or woman of honour would prefer to die fighting, or die by their own hand, rather than subject themselves to the indignity of being defeated by someone who is not a man or woman of honour.

A man or woman of honour treats others courteously, regardless of their culture, religion, status, gender, and race, and is only disdainful and contemptuous of those who, by their attitude, actions and behaviour, treat they themselves with disrespect or try to personally harm them, or who treat with disrespect or try to harm those whom the individual man or woman of honour have personally sworn loyalty to or whom they champion.

A man or woman of honour, when called upon to act, or when honour bids them act, acts without hesitation provided always that honour is satisfied. A man or woman of honour, in public, is somewhat reserved and controlled and not given to displays of emotion, nor to boasting, preferring as they do deeds to words.

A man or woman of honour does not lie, once having sworn on oath ("I swear on my honour that I shall speak the truth...") as they do not steal from others or cheat others for such conduct is dishonourable. A man or woman of honour may use guile or cunning to deceive sworn enemies, and sworn enemies only, provided always that they do not personally benefit from such guile or cunning and provided always that honour is satisfied.

Appendix III

The Code of Kindred Honour

Those who are not our kindred brothers or sisters are mundanes. Those who are our brothers and sisters live by – and are prepared to die by – our unique code of honour.

Our Kindred-Honour means we are fiercely loyal to only our own O9A kind. Our Kindred- Honour means we are wary of, and do not trust – and often despise – all those who are not like us, especially mundanes.

Our duty – as individuals who live by the Code of Kindred-Honour – is to be ready, willing, and able to defend ourselves, in any situation, and to be prepared to use lethal force to so defend ourselves.

Our duty – as individuals who live by the Code of Kindred-Honour – is to be loyal to, and to defend, our own kind: to do our duty, even unto death, to those of our brothers and sisters to whom we have sworn a personal oath of loyalty.

Our obligation – as individuals who live by the Code of Kindred-Honour – is to seek revenge, if necessary unto death, against anyone who acts dishonourably toward us, or who acts dishonourably toward those to whom we have sworn a
personal oath of loyalty.

Our obligation – as individuals who live by the Code of Kindred-Honour – is to never willingly submit to any mundane; to die lighting rather than surrender to them; to die rather (if necessary by our own hand) than allow ourselves to be dishonourably humiliated by them. Our obligation – as individuals who live by the Code of Kindred-Honour – is to never trust any oath or any pledge of loyalty given, or any promise made, by any mundane, and to be wary and suspicious of them at all times.

Our duty – as individuals who live by the Code of Kindred-Honour – is to settle our serious disputes, among ourselves, by either trial by combat, or by a duel involving deadly weapons; and to challenge to a duel anyone – mundane, or one of our own kind – who impugns our kindred honour or who makes mundane accusations against us.

Our duty – as individuals who live by the Code of Kindred-Honour – is to settle our non-serious disputes, among ourselves, by having a man or woman from among us (a brother or sister who is highly esteemed because of their honourable deeds), arbitrate and decide the matter for us, and to accept without question, and to abide by, their decision, because of the respect we have accorded them as arbitrator. Our duty – as kindred individuals who live by the Code of Kindred-Honour – is to always keep our word to our own kind, once we have given our word on our kindred honour, for to break one's word among our own kind is a cowardly, a mundane, act.

Our duty – as individuals who live by the Code of Kindred-Honour – is to act with kindred honour in all our dealings with our own kindred kind.

Our obligation – as individuals who live by the Code of Kindred-Honour – is to marry only those from our own kind, who thus, like us, live by our Code and are prepared to die to save their Kindred-Honour and that of their brothers and sisters.

Our duty – as individuals who live by the Code of Kindred-Honour – means that an oath of kindred loyalty or allegiance, once sworn by a man or woman of kindred honour ("I swear on my Kindred-Honour that I shall...") can only be ended either: (i) by the man or woman of kindred honour formally asking the person to whom the oath was sworn to release them from that oath, and that person agreeing so to release them; or (ii) by the death of the person to whom the oath was sworn. Anything else is unworthy of us, and the act of a mundane.
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Author Profiling In The Case Of David Myatt And Anton Long

For decades opponents of the Occult subculture known as the Order of Nine Angles (ONA) as well as antifascists who have a hatred of David Myatt because of his past as a neo-nazi activist, have claimed that Myatt is not only the person behind the pseudonym ‘Anton Long’ but also founded the Order of Nine Angles (O9A, ONA) in the 1970s and wrote most of its primary texts.

When asked by proponents of O9A subculture or by supporters of Myatt to provide evidential facts (evidence acceptable in a Court of Law) they have: (i) remained silent, or (ii) taken refuge in the fantasy that anyone asking for such evidence is Myatt himself, or (iii) committed the logical fallacy of ad populum, claiming it is “self-evident” because so many others believe it, or (iv) committed other logical fallacies such as argumentum ad verecundiam - appeal to authority - by citing the personal opinion of some person or some opinion piece (propaganda) by antifascists or citing someone who committed the fallacy of Incomplete Evidence.

In more recent times two well-financed, Establishment-connected, antifascists have threatened to engage the professional services of an ‘author profiler’ who using forensic linguistics they believe will be able to show that Myatt was Long and the author of most of the primary O9A texts.

However, such an outcome is unlikely for several reasons. The first is that author profiling is subjective not empirical and thus not definitive. Second, and most important in this case, the task would be formidable with the result easily open to question given the volume of material written by both Myatt and the pseudonymous Anton Long over several decades and given that the forensic profiler would have to subjectively select what texts from what years to compare. What would their subjective criteria for such a selection be? To compare a few texts from around the same time? To compare a few texts from the same decade? To compare just a few or dozens of texts from three or four decades?

Since 1984 with the publication of his 45 page tract Vindex - Destiny of the West to 2022 with the publication of his Numinosity, Denotata, Empathy, And The Hermetic Tradition, Myatt has written thousands of pages of texts. The texts also vary in subject matter, from polemical propagandistic texts in support of National Socialism (1984-1998) to ideological tracts in support of his ‘ethical National Socialism’ (1996-1998) to items supporting a particular and radical interpretation Islam (2001-2008) to his post-2012 writings about his philosophy of pathei-mathos to his autobiographical effusions such as his 2014 collection of essays titled One Vagabond In Exile From The Gods and the letters included in parts two and three of his Understanding and Rejecting Extremism. There is also the matter of Myatt’s translations of and commentaries on tractsates of the Corpus Hermeticum (2017) and his 2017 scholarly monographs Classical Paganism And The Christian Ethos and Tu Es Diaboli lanua.

In the case of Anton Long there are also thousands of pages of texts, from some of the typescripts included in Naos (1989) to The Satanic Letters (1992) to Enantiodromia - The Sinister Abyssal Nexion (2012).

The issue is further complicated by writings published between 1992 and 2011 which do not bear the name ‘Anton Long’ and thus are anonymous but which anonymous texts many critics have assumed were written by Anton Long but for which assumption they have not and never have provided any evidence. As described by Rachael Stirling in 2021,

"Crucial to understanding and appreciating O9A philosophy is the study of the writings of Anton Long, 1976-2012, for they are the primary sources, the only primary sources, of that philosophy. Which writings were published under that name or using the two pseudonyms which he himself has acknowledged, which are (i) "Stephen Brown", with reference to the two volumes of The Satanic Letters, 1992, and (ii) "Thorold West", with reference to the manuscript of Naos: A Practical Guide To Modern Magick, 1989. These primary sources include those two works, the four novels of the Deofel Quartet published between 1976 and 1992, The Last Writings of Anton Long published in 2012, and the classic texts The Gentleman's - and Noble Ladies - Brief Guide to The Dark Arts (2008), Concerning Culling as Art (2011) and The De-Evolutionary Nature of Might is Right (2011).

Other sources - O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 - are therefore secondary and tertiary sources and represent the interpretations and opinions of others regarding Anton Long's philosophy and the Seven Fold Way that he devised.

For what has hitherto been rejected or ignored by O9A critics, by some O9A supporters, and by all O9A opponents, is the scholarly detail, the view, that not all O9A texts published between 1990 and 2012 were
written by Anton Long, whomsoever he was. Thus of all the texts in the three volumes of Hostia, published in 1992, only a few have the name Anton Long, or the initials AL, or the name Stephen Brown, attached to them, and it is an un-scholarly but common mistake to assume that uncredited texts - such as the one titled Conquer, Destroy, Create in volume II of Hostia - represent his, that is O9A, philosophy.” {4}

O9A Manual Of Style

A further complication in the matter of O9A texts is the O9A Manual of Style which was mentioned on internet forums and ‘blog’ posts between 2014 and 2016 {5} in response to those using what came to be called the Aquino fallacy:

“The person using [the] fallacious [Aquino] argument will claim that since one or more ONA blogs or websites, or some articles, use the same or similar styling and/or layout as some blog or website or article by or assumed to be by Myatt, it means that Myatt is behind them all - clearly ignoring the obvious fact that such similarities, if not just coincidental, could well be a deliberate imitation designed to get mundanes to jump to such a silly, fallacious, conclusion.” {6}

This led to the publication of a 2016 article titled The O9A Manual Of Style in which some details of the manual were revealed:

“As mentioned to some correspondents over the years, those who are associated with the Falciferian O9A {7} have had an ‘ONA Manual of Style‘ just as many older, established, newspapers (such as the Guardian and the New York Times) have their in-house manuals of style and usage. Such manuals are often updated every few decades, and are useful guides that enable printed items to have an ‘in-house’ style. Given the non-hierarchical structure and ethos of the O9A, the manual offers guidance, recommending best practice rather than giving rules which are expected be followed.

The ONA manual deals not only with the specific - O9A assigned - meaning of certain words (such as nexion, presencing, mundanes, culling) but also with many other topics, such as (i) whether certain words - for example ‘satanism’ and ‘satan’ - should be with an upper or a lower case S, (ii) how titles and subtitles should appear (usually, and against current convention) with all words beginning with upper case), (iii) how footnotes should be numbered and displayed (usually numbered within curly brackets), (iv) how each page should be set out, (v) how texts should display the name of the author, and (vi) how the introduction to following paragraphs should be phrased, with suggestions ranging from ‘However’ to ‘In addition’ to ‘Thus’

[...]

Initially compiled by ‘Anton Long’ in the early 1990s, the manual has gone through several editions, with one of its main functions being inspired by what was once termed the Aquino fallacy.” {8}

Such an antinomian/dialectical/’sinister’ purpose was mentioned by Anton Long in a 28th August 103yf [1992] letter to Temple of Set member David Austen who had repeated one of Aquino's claims, with Long writing that the intent was "to make people like you draw the conclusion you were intended to make.” {9}

This means two hitherto overlooked important related things even though hints about them have been made in some O9A literature for decades, as for instance in numerous responses by O9A folk on internet forums and ‘blogs’ between 2014 and 2016. {10}

Firstly, that certain O9A texts whether written by Anton Long himself or by his students may have deliberately copied aspects of Myatt's style, formatting, grammar and spelling {11} in order to "make certain people draw the fallacious conclusion they were intended to make", and secondly that such a ‘sinister’ purpose combined with the use of the O9A manual of style was part of the O9A's Labyrinthos Mythologicus which implies

"myth-making; creating or concerned with mythology or myths; a mythical narrative, and is both (a) a modern and an amoral version of a technique often historically employed, world-wide among diverse cultures and traditions both esoteric and otherwise, to test and select candidates, and (b) a mischievous, japing, sly, and sometimes (for mundanes) an annoying, part of the O9A sinister dialectic.” {12}

Conclusion

The matter of proving the authorship of O9A texts published under the name Anton Long between the 1970s and 2012 (when Anton Long officially retired) is not only whimsical given the subjective nature of author profiling, the thousands of pages of texts, the O9A manual of style, and the dialectical japes of the Falciferian O9A involving imitating aspects of Myatt’s style, formatting, grammar and spelling, but also indicative of the personal character of those desperate to prove that their fantasy that Myatt=Long is real and thus will vindicate their certitude of belief that their hatred of Myatt {13} is not bigotry but necessary given that they fancifully claim the O9A is an “evil”, neo-nazi, terrorist organization dedicated to the overthrow of Western Judaeo-Christian society, whereas the reality is that they are bigots and that the O9A is a changing, evolving, subculture, a series of life-style choices, and not a group with members or a political agenda, neo-nazi or otherwise. {1}

But no doubt all this will not prevent them from continuing to try prove, by whatever subjective means, that their fantasy of Myatt=Long is real.


{3} The text The Urban Tale Of Myatt And Long - https://archive.org/download/urban-tale-myatt-o9a-v5/urban-tale-myatt-o9a-v7a.pdf - provides a useful summary of such reliance on logical fallacies in the matter of Myatt and Long.


{5} Two examples from 2016 are:


A copy of the original article is available at https://archive.org/download/mundane-fallacies/mundane-fallacies.pdf

{7} As other O9A texts made clear by ‘Falciferian O9A’ was/is meant what was otherwise referred to as the O9A Old Guard, the Inner O9A, which consisted of the few students that Anton Long had personally advised between the 1970s and 2011.

{8} The article was included in the compilation The Dialectical Order Of Nine Angles which was published on the o9a dot org site in 2016. A copy of the compilation is available at https://web.archive.org/web/20220510160627/http://www.o9a.org/wp-content/uploads/dialectical-o9a.pdf
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(10) An enigmatic example of the dialectical O9A and its often mirthful Labyrinthos Mythologicus occurred on an internet forum in 2015 as the following screenshots reveal. The only public response was mundane but the poster did receive a PM (private message) from someone who understood and who subsequently embarked upon the O9A Seven Fold Way.

A final riposte. There is more genuine Satanism in the life and death of Bonnie and Clyde, in Lura Del Rey’s ‘Summertime Sadness’, in the paintings of van Gogh, in the lives of Adolf Hitler and Leon Degrelle, than there is in all modern so-called satanic groups, temples, ‘satanic’ internet forums, articles - and all the lives and plebeian opinions of latter-day satanists - combined. IMO, only the Order of Nine Angles, in recent times, has captured at least something of that life-affirming, wordless, dark, adversarial, amoral, mirthful, strange, satanic essence.

---

Are you for real?

You know, you complain here that things have gotten boring and that you’re bored and then - bang - along comes some O9A related stuff to enliven the forum and you respond in your own way, almost on cue :)

In another universe, along (yet again) comes N913 (aka the NC student), once discredited due to his youthful egotism but obviously having learned nothing vis-a-vis self-honesty and knowledge - for “tomorrow and tomorrow and tomorrow” - and he thus continues (almost on cue) to regurgitate his egotistic, masculine, ipseity vis-a-vis the O9A. Contra, of course, the Order of Nine Angles (omegabulipa) balance of masculine and medibial.

What larks, eh? What adversarial japes are afoot? What presencing - however mundane and temporal - of a particular sinister dialectic?

Now, either you “get this” or you don’t. Hints - (i) Bartok, “Music for Strings, Percussion, and Celeste”, (ii) Monas Hieroglyphica (Antwerp, 1564), especially Theorem XVIII.

But no doubt you - and others - will most probably not understand never mind not desire to decrypt such esoteric clues. For plebeian physis is just so prevalent among latter-day satanists and those who describe themselves as following the LHP and those who self-identify with the O9A.

Thus such failure to not so esoterically understand is just so indicative: of modern ‘Satanists’ and of those who profess to follow the LHP and especially of those who profess to be - or to understand - the Order of Nine Angles.

Meanwhile, “we” are most amused. Therefore, do carry on, as no doubt N913 et al will carry on. Epic failure, in terms of Ιρός Λόγος...

The 1460 page guide contains dozens of texts written by Anton Long, such as The Geryne of Satan and Magick, The Sinister, Aeons, and The Psyche of The Folk. It also contains dozens and dozens of other O9A texts not written by Anton Long.
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